

PUBLIKACIJE ELEKTROTEHNIČKOG FAKULTETA UNIVERZITETA U BEOGRADU
PUBLICATIONS DE LA FACULTÉ D'ÉLECTROTECHNIQUE DE L'UNIVERSITÉ À BELGRADE

SERIJA: MATEMATIKA I FIZIKA — SÉRIE: MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE

Nº 357 — Nº 380 (1971)

365.

BOUNDS FOR ORDER STATISTICS*

A. V. Boyd

By using a result of QUESENBERRY and DAVID [2], HAWKINS [1] has obtained bounds on the order statistics for an arbitrary statistical distribution. His results are equivalent to the following:

Theorem. If $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 1$ where $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$ then

$$-\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq x_1 \leq -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\{n(n-1)\}}},$$

$$-\sqrt{\frac{n-j}{nj}} \leq x_j \leq \sqrt{\frac{j-1}{n(n+1-j)}} \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \leq x_n \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

all the inequalities being best possible.

Proof. The following alternative proof is independent of statistical ideas.

Define θ by $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = mx_m - \theta$ so that $\theta \geq 0$.

If $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ and $x_m \leq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m x_m^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i^2 \\ &= mx_m^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \left(x_i - \frac{\theta - mx_m}{n-m} \right)^2 + \frac{(\theta - mx_m)^2}{n-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=m+1}^n x_i = \theta - mx_m$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \geq \frac{nm}{n-m} \left(x_m - \frac{\theta}{n} \right)^2 + \frac{\theta^2}{n} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \left(x_i - \frac{\theta - mx_m}{n-m} \right)^2.$$

* Presented June 1, 1971 by D. S. MITRINOVIC.

Hence if $x_m < -\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{nm}}$ and $\theta \geq 0$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 > \frac{mn}{n-m} \left(-\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{nm}} - 0 \right)^2 + 0 + 0 = 1.$$

Hence x_m cannot be less than $-\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{nm}}$ for $m=1$ to $n-1$.

It can, however, attain this lower bound, as is seen by taking

$$x_1 = \dots = x_m = -\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{nm}} \text{ and } x_{m+1} = \dots = x_n = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n(n-m)}}.$$

To show that the greatest lower bound for x_m is given by a different expression in the case $m=n$ suppose that exactly r of the x 's are greater than 0. Then $r \leq n-1$ and $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-r} \leq 0 < x_{n-r+1} \leq x_{n-r+2} \leq \dots \leq x_n$.

If $x_n < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}$ then

$$0 \geq x_1 + \dots + x_{n-r} = -(x_{n-r+1} + \dots + x_n) > \frac{-r}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-r} x_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-r} x_i x_j + \sum_{i=n-r+1}^n x_i^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} x_i \right)^2 + \sum_{i=n-r+1}^n x_i^2 < \frac{r^2}{n(n-1)} + \frac{r}{n(n-1)} = \frac{r(r+1)}{n(n-1)} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence x_n cannot be less than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}$; but this lower bound for x_n is attained for the set $x_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}$, $x_2 = \dots = x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}$.

For upper bounds on the x 's we first have, by symmetry, that the m^{th} largest of them, x_{n-m+1} , must satisfy

$$x_{n-m+1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n-m}{nm}} \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq n-1$$

and

$$x_{n-m+1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \text{ for } m=n,$$

and putting $m=n+1-j$ gives the stated inequalities for x_j .

R E F E R E N C E S

1. D. M. HAWKINS; *On the bounds of the range of order statistics*. To appear in Jour. Amer. Stat. Assn. 1971.
2. C. P. QUESENBERRY and H. A. DAVID: *Some tests for outliers*. Biometrika 48 (1961), 379—390.