

359.

AN INEQUALITY*

F. V. Atkinson

The following is proved:

Let $p(x), q(x) \in C''[a, b]$, and let $p'' > 0, q'' > 0$, and also

$$(1) \quad \int_a^b \left(x - \frac{1}{2}(a+b)\right) q(x) dx = 0.$$

Then

$$(2) \quad (b-a) \int_a^b p q dx > \int_a^b p dx \int_a^b q dx.$$

Let us write

$$(3) \quad m = (b-a)^{-1} \int_a^b q dx,$$

so that what we have to prove may be written as

$$(4) \quad \int_a^b p(x) (q(x) - m) dx > 0.$$

We write further

$$(5) \quad q_1(x) = \int_a^x (q(t) - m) dt, \quad q_2(x) = \int_a^x q_1(t) dt.$$

Integrating the left of (4) by parts twice we get

$$(6) \quad (pq_1 - p'q_2) \Big|_a^b + \int_a^b p'' q_2 dx.$$

Here $q_1(a) = q_2(a) = 0$, and $q_1(b) = 0$ since m is the mean-value of q . Thus (6) becomes

$$(7) \quad -p'(b)q_2(b) + \int_a^b p'' q_2 dx.$$

* Received April 10, 1971, and presented May 15, 1971 by D. S. MITRINOVIĆ.

Thus to complete the proof it will be sufficient to show that

$$(8) \quad q_2(b) = 0,$$

$$(9) \quad q_2(x) > 0, \quad a < x < b.$$

Concerning (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(b) &= \int_a^b dx \int_a^x (q(t) - m) dt = \int_a^b (b-t)(q(t) - m) dt \\ &= \int_a^b \left(\frac{1}{2}(a+b) - t \right) (q(t) - m) dt + \frac{1}{2}(b-a) \int_a^b (q(t) - m) dt, \end{aligned}$$

and here the first integral vanishes in view of (1), and the second by (3).

It remains to prove (9). We note first that since $q'' > 0$, $q(x) - m$ can have at most two zeros in $a < x < b$; by (3), it must have at least one zero in this open interval. By ROLLE's theorem, and the facts that $q_1(a) = q_1(b) = 0$, we see that $q_1(x)$ can have at most one zero in $a < x < b$. Again by ROLLE's theorem, and the facts that $q_2(a) = q_2(b) = 0$, we have that $q_2(x)$ can have no zero in $a < x < b$. Thus the sign of $q_2(x)$ is fixed in $a < x < b$. To establish (9) we have to show that it is positive for at least one x .

The above argument, using ROLLE's theorem, shows that $q_1 = q_2'$ must have at least one zero in $a < x < b$, and so exactly one zero. Likewise, $q - m = q_1'$ has at least two zeros, and so exactly two zeros in $a < x < b$. Since $(q - m)'' > 0$, it must start positive, then become negative, and then positive, as x goes from a to b . Thus $q_1(x)$, $q_2(x)$, the successive integrals of $q(x) - m$, must also be positive for $x > a$ and close to a . Thus the constant sign of q_2 in $a < x < b$ is positive, and this completes the proof.

Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ont., Canada