

SOME INEQUALITIES INVOLVING ELEMENTARY
 SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Dragoslav S. Mitrinović

(Received June 5, 1967)

1. *Introduction.* Let a_k ($k=1, \dots, n$) be real numbers, and consider

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x + a_k) = x^n + c_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1} x + c_n.$$

Then c_k ($k=1, \dots, n$) is the k -th elementary symmetric function of the a_k ($k=1, \dots, n$). We define $c_0=1$ and $c_{-k}=0$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$).

The following result is valid (see [1], p. 52 or [2], p. 117):

Theorem 1.1. *If all zeros of a real polynomial $f(x)$ are real, then*

$$(1.2) \quad c_{k-1} c_{k+1} - c_k^2 \leq 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, n-1).$$

Applying this theorem to the polynomial

$$(x-1)^v f(x) \quad (v \text{ a positive integer}),$$

we get the following inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \left(\sum_{i=0}^v (-1)^i \binom{v}{i} c_{k-1-i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^v (-1)^i \binom{v}{i} c_{k+1-i} \right) - \left(\sum_{i=0}^v (-1)^i \binom{v}{i} c_{k-i} \right)^2 \leq 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, n-1).$$

For instance, if $v=1$ and $v=2$, this inequality becomes

$$(1.4) \quad (c_{k-1} - c_{k-2})(c_{k+1} - c_k) \leq (c_k - c_{k-1})^2$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad (c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3})(c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1}) \leq (c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2})^2,$$

respectively.

2. Preliminary results. Let $c_{k+1} > c_k > 0$. Then inequality (1.2) is equivalent to

$$\frac{c_{k-1}}{c_k} \leq \frac{c_k}{c_{k+1}},$$

whence

$$\frac{c_{k-1}}{c_k} \leq \frac{c_k}{c_{k+1}} < 1,$$

since $c_k < c_{k+1}$. Thus,

Theorem 2.1. *If $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, then $c_{k+1} > c_k > 0 \Rightarrow c_k > c_{k-1}$.*

Similarly, we obtain the result:

Theorem 2.2. *If $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, then $c_{k-1} > c_k > 0 \Rightarrow c_k > c_{k+1}$.*

The following theorems are also valid:

Theorem 2.3. *If $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, then*

$$c_k > c_{k-1} > 0 \text{ and } c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1} > 0$$

imply that

$$c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} > 0.$$

Theorem 2.4. *If $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, then*

$$0 < c_k < c_{k-1} \text{ and } c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} > 0$$

imply that

$$c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1} > 0.$$

Theorems 2.1–2.4 are simple generalizations of theorems 1 and 2 proved by J. N. Darroch and J. Pitman [3].

We shall prove only theorem 2.4. Consider the inequality (1.4). Since $c_k - c_{k-1} < 0$ by our assumption and $c_{k+1} - c_k < 0$ by theorem 2.2, inequality (1.4) is equivalent to

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{c_{k-1} - c_{k-2}}{c_k - c_{k-1}} \leq \frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{c_{k+1} - c_k}.$$

We have assumed also that

$$c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} > 0, \text{ i.e., } c_k - c_{k-1} > c_{k-1} - c_{k-2},$$

so that

$$1 < \frac{c_{k-1} - c_{k-2}}{c_k - c_{k-1}}.$$

From (2.1) and the last inequality we get

$$1 < \frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{c_{k+1} - c_k}.$$

Since $c_{k+1} - c_k < 0$, the latter is equivalent to

$$c_{k+1} - c_k > c_k - c_{k-1}, \text{ i.e., } c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1} > 0,$$

which we had to prove.

Next we prove the following two preliminary theorems:

Theorem 2.5. *If*

$$(2.2) \quad c_{k+1} - 3c_k + 3c_{k-1} - c_{k-2} > 0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} > 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad c_{k-1} - c_{k-2} > 0,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad c_{k-2} > 0,$$

then

$$(2.6) \quad c_k - 3c_{k-1} + 3c_{k-2} - c_{k-3} > 0.$$

Proof. Starting with (1.5) we have

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1}}{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}} \leq \frac{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}}{c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3}},$$

since, by (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5),

$$(2.8) \quad c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} > 0 \text{ and } c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3} > 0.$$

From (2.2) it follows that

$$c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1} > c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2},$$

i. e.,

$$\frac{c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1}}{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}} > 1.$$

From this result and (2.7), we obtain

$$1 < \frac{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}}{c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3}}.$$

Since $c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3} > 0$, the latter is equivalent to

$$c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3} < c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2},$$

i. e.,

$$0 < c_k - 3c_{k-1} + 3c_{k-2} - c_{k-3},$$

whence the truth of (2.6) follows.

Theorem 2.6. *If*

$$(2.9) \quad c_k - 3c_{k-1} + 3c_{k-2} - c_{k-3} < 0,$$

$$(2.10) \quad c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} > 0,$$

$$(2.11) \quad c_k - c_{k-1} < 0,$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad c_k > 0,$$

then

$$(2.13) \quad c_{k+1} - 3c_k + 3c_{k-1} - c_{k-2} < 0.$$

Proof. We start with inequality (1.5). By theorem 2.4 and hypotheses (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) we have

$$c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} > 0.$$

Then inequality (1.5) is equivalent to

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3}}{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}} \leq \frac{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}}{c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1}}.$$

Inequality (2.9) is equivalent to

$$c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2} < c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3};$$

i. e.,

$$(2.15) \quad 1 < \frac{c_{k-1} - 2c_{k-2} + c_{k-3}}{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}}.$$

Inequalities (2.14) and (2.15) yield

$$1 < \frac{c_k - 2c_{k-1} + c_{k-2}}{c_{k+1} - 2c_k + c_{k-1}},$$

from which (2.13) follows immediately.

3. Main results. The results mentioned in §2 suggest that some more general theorems might hold.

We shall now use the notation

$$\Delta^m c_r = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} c_{r+i}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let k and ν be fixed natural numbers and, for $p=0, 1, \dots, \nu$, let*

$$(3.1) \quad (-1)^p \Delta^p c_{k-\nu+1} > 0;$$

then

$$(3.2) \quad (-1)^\nu \Delta^\nu c_{k-\nu} > 0.$$

Proof. For $\nu = 1, 2, 3$ and each $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ theorem 3.1 reduces to theorems 2.1, 2.3, 2.5. Let us assume that theorem 3.1 holds for $\nu-1$ and all $k = 1, \dots, n-1$; i. e. that the inequalities

$$(3.3) \quad (-1)^p \Delta^p c_{k-\nu+1} > 0 \quad (p = 0, 1, \dots, \nu-1)$$

imply that

$$(3.4) \quad (-1)^{\nu-1} \Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu} > 0.$$

In this case inequality (1.3) becomes

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu} \cdot \Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+2} \leq (\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+1})^2.$$

On the basis of (3.2) for $p = \nu-1$ and (3.4), inequality (3.5) is equivalent to

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+2}}{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+1}} \leq \frac{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+1}}{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu}}.$$

Inequality (3.1) for $p = \nu$ is equivalent to

$$(-1)^{\nu-1} \Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+2} > (-1)^{\nu-1} \Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+1},$$

wherefrom it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad 1 < \frac{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+2}}{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+1}}.$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain

$$1 < \frac{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+1}}{\Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu}}.$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$(-1)^{\nu-1} \Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu} < (-1)^{\nu-1} \Delta^{\nu-1} c_{k-\nu+1},$$

whence we obtain the inequality (3.2). This proves the theorem 3.1.

We can also prove the following theorems:

Theorem 3.2. *If s and k are natural numbers and*

$$\Delta^p c_{k-p} > 0 \quad (p = 0, 1, \dots, 2s),$$

then

$$\Delta^{2s} c_{k-2s+1} > 0.$$

Theorem 3.3. *If s and k are natural numbers and*

$$\Delta^p c_{k-p} > 0 \quad (p = 0, 1, \dots, 2s+1),$$

then

$$\Delta^{2s+1} c_{k-2s} > 0.$$

Proof. The proof of these theorems is by induction using the following schema:
Let $P(v)$ denote some property. If

$$P(2s-1) \Rightarrow P(2s),$$

and

$$P(2s) \Rightarrow P(2s+1),$$

then also

$$P(v) \Rightarrow P(v+1).$$

Let us assume that the inequalities

$$\Delta^p c_{k-p} > 0 \quad (p=0, 1, \dots, 2s-1)$$

imply $\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+2} > 0$. Now, if $\Delta^{2s} c_{k-2s} > 0$, we shall prove that $\Delta^{2s} c_{k-2s+1} > 0$.

In order to prove this fact, we start with

$$\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s} \cdot \Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+2} \leq (\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+1})^2.$$

This inequality is equivalent to

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s}}{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+1}} \leq \frac{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+1}}{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+2}}.$$

The inequality $\Delta^{2s} c_{k-2s} > 0$ is equivalent to the following

$$\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+1} < \Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s};$$

i. e.,

$$(3.9) \quad 1 < \frac{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s}}{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+1}}.$$

From (3.8) and (3.9) we deduce

$$1 < \frac{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+1}}{\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+2}},$$

whence

$$\Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+2} < \Delta^{2s-1} c_{k-2s+1}, \text{ i. e., } \Delta^{2s} c_{k-2s+1} > 0.$$

Now suppose that the inequalities

$$\Delta^p c_{k-p} > 0 \quad (p=0, 1, \dots, 2s)$$

imply $\Delta^{2s} c_{k-2s+1} > 0$. If $\Delta^{2s+1} c_{k-2s-1} > 0$ it can be proved by the similar arguments that $\Delta^{2s+1} c_{k-2s} > 0$.

Hence, by the above arguments and theorems 2.4 and 2.6, theorems 3.2 and 3.3 are proved inductively.

4. Generalization. We can apply our procedure to

$$(x-\alpha_1)(x-\alpha_2)\cdots(x-\alpha_v)(c_0x^n+c_1x^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{n-1}x+c_n) \\ = A_0x^{n+v}+A_1x^{n+v-1}+\cdots+A_{n+v-1}x+A_{n+v},$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_v$ are real numbers to obtain more general results than those we have proved.

It is also possible to modify the systems of conditional inequalities in § 2 and § 3.

5. Remark. Let us consider the polynomial

$$(x-a)(c_0x^n+c_1x^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{n-1}x+c_n),$$

where a is a real number. Then inequality (1.2) becomes

$$(c_{k-1}-ac_{k-2})(c_{k+1}-ac_k)\leq(c_k-ac_{k-1})^2;$$

i. e., for every real a the following inequality is valid

$$(5.1) \quad (c_{k-2}c_k-c_{k-1}^2)a^2+(c_{k-1}c_k-c_{k-2}c_{k-1})a+(c_{k-1}c_{k+1}-c_k^2)\leq 0,$$

where, according to (1.2),

$$(5.2) \quad c_{k-2}c_k-c_{k-1}^2\leq 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, n-1).$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) we get

$$(c_{k-1}c_k-c_{k-2}c_{k+1})^2-4(c_{k-1}c_{k+1}-c_k^2)(c_{k-2}c_k-c_{k-1}^2)\leq 0.$$

Dr S. B. Prešić and Dr D. Ž. Đoković have read this Note in manuscript and made valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. PÓLYA: *Inequalities*, Cambridge 1952.
- [2] J. V. USPENSKY: *Theory of equations*, New York—Toronto—London 1948.
- [3] J. N. DARROCH and J. PITMAN: *Note on a property of the elementary symmetric functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **16** (1965), 1132—1133.