

CERTAIN INEQUALITIES FOR ELEMENTARY
 SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Dragoslav S. Mitrinović

(Received June 1, 1967)

1. Let a_k ($k=1, \dots, n$) be real numbers and let

$$f(x) = (x+a_1) \cdots (x+a_n) = x^n + c_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1} x + c_n.$$

Then c_k ($k=1, \dots, n$) is the k -th elementary symmetric function of a_1, \dots, a_n . We define $c_0=1$ and $c_{-k}=0$ for $k=1, 2, \dots$.

Let \bar{c}_k denote the k -th elementary symmetric function of a_2, \dots, a_n .

The following inequality is valid for the coefficients of the polynomial $f(x)$ (see: [1], p. 117):

$$(1.1) \quad c_{k-1} c_{k+1} - c_k^2 \leq 0.$$

Let ν be a positive integer and consider the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= (x-1)^\nu (c_0 x^n + c_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1} x + c_n) \\ &= b_0 x^{n+\nu} + b_1 x^{n+\nu-1} + \cdots + b_{n+\nu-1} x + b_{n+\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (1.1) corresponding to the polynomial $F(x)$ is

$$b_{k-1} b_{k+1} - b_k^2 \leq 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, n+\nu-1);$$

i.e.,

$$(1.2) \quad \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^i \binom{\nu}{i} c_{k-1-i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^i \binom{\nu}{i} c_{k+1-i} \right) - \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^i \binom{\nu}{i} c_{k-i} \right)^2 \leq 0,$$

for $k=1, \dots, n-1$.

In what follows a_1, \dots, a_n will denote positive numbers.

2. We begin with the identity

$$c_k = a_1 \bar{c}_{k-1} + \bar{c}_k$$

and consider

$$g(a_1) = c_{k+1} - c_k = a_1 (\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1}) + (\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k).$$

This yields

Theorem 2.1. *If $k = 1, \dots, n-2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1} > 0 &\Rightarrow c_{k+1} - c_k \geq \bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k, \\ \bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1} < 0 &\Rightarrow c_{k+1} - c_k \leq \bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k. \end{aligned}$$

The following results are also valid:

Theorem 2.2. *For $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ the following inequality holds*

$$c_{k-1}c_{k+1} - c_k^2 \leq \bar{c}_{k-1}\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k^2.$$

Theorem 2.3. *If $\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2} > 0$, then*

$$(c_{k-1} - c_{k-2})(c_{k+1} - c_k) - (c_k - c_{k-1})^2 \leq (\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})(\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k) - (\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1})^2.$$

Proof of theorem 2.3. By Darroch — Pitman's result [2]

$$c_{k+1} - c_k \geq 0 \Rightarrow c_k - c_{k-1} > 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n-1)$$

we have, by analogy,

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2} > 0 \Rightarrow \bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3} > 0.$$

Now consider the functions

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} h(a_1) &= (c_{k-1} - c_{k-2})(c_{k+1} - c_k) - (c_k - c_{k-1})^2 \\ &= [a_1(\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3}) + (\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})][a_1(\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1}) + (\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k)] \\ &\quad - [a_1(\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2}) + (\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1})]^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} h'(a_1) &= 2a_1[(\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3})(\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1}) - (\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})^2] \\ &\quad + (\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3})(\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k) - (\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})(\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.4) \quad h''(a_1) = 2[(\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3})(\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1}) - (\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})^2].$$

For $\nu = 1$ inequality (1.2) has the form

$$(2.5) \quad (c_{k-1} - c_{k-2})(c_{k+1} - c_k) - (c_k - c_{k-1})^2 \leq 0.$$

From this inequality it follows that $h''(a_1) \leq 0$ and consequently $h'(a_1) \leq h'(0)$.

From (2.3)

$$(2.6) \quad h'(0) = (\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3})(\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k) - (\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})(\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1})$$

is obtained.

Starting with (2.5), we have

$$(2.7) \quad (\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})(\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k) \leq (\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1})^2.$$

If $\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1} \geq 0$, then, by virtue of (2.1), the inequality (2.7) is equivalent to

$$(\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})(\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k)(\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3}) \leq (\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1})^2(\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3}).$$

By (2.1) and (2.5) we obtain

$$(\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})(\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k)(\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3}) \leq (\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1})(\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2})^2.$$

Now, by virtue of (2.1) we have

$$(2.8) \quad (\bar{c}_{k+1} - \bar{c}_k)(\bar{c}_{k-2} - \bar{c}_{k-3}) \leq (\bar{c}_k - \bar{c}_{k-1})(\bar{c}_{k-1} - \bar{c}_{k-2}).$$

From (2.8) we conclude that $h'(0) \leq 0$, and consequently $h'(a_1) \leq 0$.

Hence $h(a_1) \leq h(0)$, which proves theorem 2.3.

3. Let us now prove our main result. We shall use the notation

$$\Delta^m c_r = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} c_{r+i}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *If*

$$(3.1) \quad (-1)^v \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} > 0, \quad (-1)^v \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^v \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} > 0,$$

then

$$\Delta^v c_{k-v-1} \Delta^v c_{k-v+1} - (\Delta^v c_{k-v})^2 \leq \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v+1} - (\Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v})^2.$$

Proof. Consider the function of a_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} H(a_1) &= \Delta^v c_{k-v-1} \Delta^v c_{k-v+1} - (\Delta^v c_{k-v})^2 \\ &= (a_1 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1})(a_1 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v+1}) \\ &\quad - (a_1 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v})^2. \end{aligned}$$

The derivatives of $H(a_1)$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} H'(a_1) &= \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} (a_1 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v+1}) \\ &\quad + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} (a_1 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1}) \\ &\quad - 2 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} (a_1 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H''(a_1) = 2(\Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} - (\Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1})^2).$$

From (1.2) we have $H''(a_1) \leq 0$, and consequently

$$(3.2) \quad H'(a_1) \leq H'(0),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H'(0) &= \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v+1} + \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} - 2 \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} \\ &= \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v+1} - \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v}. \end{aligned}$$

If conditions (3.1) are satisfied, by inequality (1.2) we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v+1} \cdot (-1)^v \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} &\leq (\Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v})^2 \cdot (-1)^v \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} \\ &\leq (-1)^v \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} (\Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1})^2, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v+1} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-2} \leq \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v} \Delta^v \bar{c}_{k-v-1}.$$

Hence $H'(0) \leq 0$, and consequently (3.2) becomes $H'(a_1) \leq H'(0) \leq 0$. With this, theorem 3.1 is proved.

4. The inequalities in theorems 2.2, 2.3 and 3.1 can be sharpened in the following way. The variables a_1, \dots, a_n occur symmetrically in the expressions

$$b_{k-1} b_{k+1} - b_k^2 \quad (k=1, \dots, n+v-1).$$

Therefore, for instance, instead of theorem 2.3 we have as a better result:

Theorem 4.1. Let ${}^i c_k$ be the k -th elementary symmetric function of $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n$. If

$${}^i c_{k-1} - {}^i c_{k-2} > 0,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{k-1} - c_{k-2})(c_{k+1} - c_k) - (c_k - c_{k-1})^2 \\ \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (({}^i c_{k-1} - {}^i c_{k-2})({}^i c_{k+1} - {}^i c_k) - ({}^i c_k - {}^i c_{k-1})^2). \end{aligned}$$

5. Let a_1, \dots, a_v be real numbers and let v be a positive integer. By considering the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} (x-a_1)(x-a_2) \cdots (x-a_v)(c_0 x^n + c_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1} x + c_n) \\ = A_0 x^{n+v} + A_1 x^{n+v-1} + \cdots + A_{n+v-1} x + A_{n+v}, \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain more general results than those proved above.

6. In the literature, and particularly in [3] and [4], we could find no inequalities of this type.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. V. USPENSKY: *Theory of equations*, New York—Toronto—London 1948.
- [2] J. N. DARROCH and J. PITMAN: *Note on a property of the elementary symmetric function*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **16** (1965), 1132—1133.
- [3] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. PÓLYA: *Inequalities*, Cambridge 1952.
- [4] E. F. BECKENBACH and R. BELLMAN: *Inequalities*, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1965.