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#### Abstract

We investigate the oscillation and boundedness of first and second order dynamic equations with mixed nonlinearities. Our results extend and improve known results for oscillation of first and second order dynamic equations that have been established by Agarwal and Bohner. Some examples are given to illustrate the main results.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Not only does the new theory of so-called "dynamic equations" unify the theories of differential equations and difference equations, but also extends these classical cases to cases "in between", e.g., to so-called $q$-difference equations when $\mathbb{T}=q^{\mathbb{N}_{0}}$ (which has important applications in quantum theory [25]) and can be applied to different types of time scales like $\mathbb{T}=h \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{T}=\mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}$, and the space of harmonic numbers $\mathbb{T}=\left\{H_{n}\right\}$. In this work, a knowledge and understanding of time scales and time scale notation is assumed; for an introduction to the calculus on time scales, see $[\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}, \mathbf{2 4}]$. In the last few years, there has been increasing interest in obtaining sufficient conditions for the oscillation/nonoscillation of solutions of different classes of dynamic equations. We refer the reader to $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4}-\mathbf{2 3}]$ and the references cited therein. To the best of our knowledge, there is very little known about the oscillatory behavior and boundedness of first order dynamic equations. Recently, Agarwal and Bohner [2] (see also [3]) considered the first

[^0]order dynamic equations
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\Delta}(t)-q(t) x^{\sigma}(t)+q_{1}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}} & =f(t), \\
x^{\Delta}(t)+q(t) x^{\sigma}(t)+q_{2}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\beta_{1}} & =f(t), \\
x^{\Delta}(t)+q(t) x^{\sigma}(t)+q_{1}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}}+q_{2}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\beta_{1}} & =f(t), \\
x^{\Delta}(t)+q_{1}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}}-q_{2}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\beta_{1}} & =f(t),
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and the second order dynamic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\Delta}(t)-q(t) x^{\sigma}(t)+q_{1}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}} & =f(t), \\
\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\Delta}(t)+q(t) x^{\sigma}(t)+q_{2}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\beta_{1}} & =f(t), \\
\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\Delta}(t)+q(t) x^{\sigma}(t)+q_{1}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}}+q_{2}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\beta_{1}} & =f(t), \\
\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\Delta}(t)+q_{1}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\alpha_{1}}-q_{2}(t)\left(x^{\sigma}(t)\right)^{\beta_{1}} & =f(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda, \alpha_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are ratios of odd positive integers with $\alpha_{1}>1$ and $0<\beta_{1}<1$ and $q, q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are positive rd-continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the oscillation and boundedness criteria to first order dynamic equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta}(t)+p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))+q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))=f(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta}(t)+p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))+q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))+q_{2}(t) x^{\beta}(h(t))=f(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we also examine second order dynamic equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\Delta}(t)+p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))+q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))=f(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\Delta}(t)+p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))+q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))+q_{2}(t) x^{\beta}(h(t))=f(t) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, \gamma, \alpha$ and $\beta$ are ratios of odd positive integers with $\alpha>\gamma>0$ and $\beta>\gamma>0, p$ is an rd-continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$, and $r, q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are positive rd-continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$. We assume that the function $h: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ satisfies $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)=\infty$, and hence our results will improve and extend results in [2].

Throughout this paper, we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{+} & :=\max \{0, d\}, \quad d_{-}:=\max \{0,-d\} \\
g_{1} & :=(\alpha-\gamma) \alpha^{\alpha /(\gamma-\alpha)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\alpha-\gamma)} p_{-}^{\alpha /(\alpha-\gamma)} q_{1}^{\gamma /(\gamma-\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, with $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{2}:=(\alpha-\gamma) & \alpha^{\alpha /(\gamma-\alpha)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\alpha-\gamma)} \delta^{\alpha /(\alpha-\gamma)} p_{+}^{\alpha /(\alpha-\gamma)} q_{1}^{\gamma /(\gamma-\alpha)} \\
& +(\beta-\gamma) \beta^{\beta /(\gamma-\beta)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\beta-\gamma)}(1+\delta)^{\beta /(\beta-\gamma)} p_{-}^{\beta /(\beta-\gamma)} q_{2}^{\gamma /(\gamma-\beta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before stating our main results, we begin with the following lemma which will play an important rôle in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 1.1 If $a$ and $b$ are nonnegative, then

$$
\gamma a^{\alpha}-\alpha a^{\gamma} b^{\alpha-\gamma}+(\alpha-\gamma) b^{\alpha} \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad \alpha \geq \gamma>0
$$

Proof. If $\alpha>\gamma>0$, we let $f(u)=\gamma u^{\alpha}-\alpha b^{\alpha-\gamma} u^{\gamma}$. It is easy to see that $f$ obtains its minimum at $b$ and that $f(b)=-(\alpha-\gamma) b^{\alpha}$.

## 2. FIRST ORDER DYNAMIC EQUATIONS

In this section, we give oscillation criteria for solutions to first order dynamic equations of the form (1.1) and (1.2).

Theorem 2.1. If
(2.1) $\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau=-\infty$ and $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)-g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau=\infty$,
then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume (2.1). By way of contradiction, assume that (1.1) is not oscillatory so that it has at least one eventually positive solution or at least one eventually negative solution. First we assume that $x$ satisfies (1.1) and is eventually positive. Hence there exists $t_{1} \in \mathbb{T}, t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, such that $x(t)>0$ and $x(h(t))>0$ for $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. From (1.1), we obtain for $t \geq t_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\Delta}(t) & =f(t)-p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))  \tag{2.2}\\
& \leq f(t)+p_{-}(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Define nonnegative functions $a$ and $b$ by
$a(t):=\gamma^{-1 / \alpha} q_{1}^{1 / \alpha}(t) x(h(t)), \quad b(t):=\alpha^{1 /(\gamma-\alpha)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\alpha(\alpha-\gamma))} p_{-}^{1 /(\alpha-\gamma)}(t) q_{1}^{\gamma /(\alpha(\gamma-\alpha))}(t)$.
The last two terms in (2.2) can be written as

$$
\alpha\left(\gamma^{-\gamma / \alpha} q_{1}^{\gamma / \alpha}(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))\right)\left(\alpha^{-1} \gamma^{\gamma / \alpha} p_{-}(t) q_{1}^{-\gamma / \alpha}(t)\right)-\gamma\left(\gamma^{-1} q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))\right),
$$

which is equal to

$$
\alpha a^{\gamma}(t) b^{\alpha-\gamma}(t)-\gamma a^{\alpha}(t) \leq(\alpha-\gamma) b^{\alpha}(t)=g_{1}(t)
$$

for $t \geq t_{1}$, where we have used Lemma 1.1. From this last inequality and (2.2), we get

$$
x^{\Delta}(t) \leq f(t)+g_{1}(t),
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & =x\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} x^{\Delta}(\tau) \Delta \tau \leq x\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau \\
& =c+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
c:=x\left(t_{1}\right)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau
$$

Employing the first condition in (2.1), we find

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \leq-\infty
$$

which is a contradiction. Next, we assume that $x$ satisfies (1.1) and is eventually negative. If we put $y=-x$, then $y$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.1), where $f$ is replaced by $-f$. This case therefore leads to a contradiction as in the first case, provided

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(-f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau=-\infty
$$

which indeed holds due to the second condition in (2.1).
Corollary 2.1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} f(\tau) \Delta \tau=-\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} f(\tau) \Delta \tau=\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p_{-}^{\alpha /(\alpha-\gamma)}(\tau) q_{1}^{\gamma /(\gamma-\alpha)}(\tau) \Delta \tau<\infty \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. As (2.3) and (2.4) imply (2.1), the claim follows from Theorem 2.1.
Example 2.1. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a time scale satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(t):=\sigma(t)-t \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad t \in \mathbb{T}, \quad t_{0} \in \mathbb{T}, \quad t_{0}>0, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\Delta}(t)+p(t) x^{1 / 3}(h(t))+\frac{1}{t(\sigma(t))^{6}} x^{5 / 3}(h(t))=\frac{t+\sigma(t)}{\mu(t)} e_{-2 / \mu}\left(\sigma(t), t_{0}\right), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for any positive rd-continuous function $\phi$ on $\mathbb{T}$,

$$
p(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{-1}{t(\sigma(t))^{2}} & \text { for } & t \in[2 n, 2 n+1)_{\mathbb{T}} \\
\phi(t) & \text { for } & t \in[2 n+1,2 n+2)_{\mathbb{T}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As in [2, Example 2.1], we get

$$
F^{\Delta}(t)=\frac{t+\sigma(t)}{\mu(t)} e_{-2 / \mu}\left(\sigma(t), t_{0}\right)=: f(t) \quad \text { for } \quad F(t):=t e_{-2 / \mu}\left(t, t_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
e_{-2 / \mu}\left(\sigma(t), t_{0}\right)=-e_{-2 / \mu}\left(t, t_{0}\right),
$$

which implies

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} f(\tau) \Delta \tau=\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t e_{-2 / \mu}\left(t, t_{0}\right)-t_{0}\right)=-\infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} f(\tau) \Delta \tau=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t e_{-2 / \mu}\left(t, t_{0}\right)-t_{0}\right)=\infty
$$

Moreover,

$$
g_{1}(t)=\frac{4}{5 \sqrt[4]{5}}\left(\frac{1}{t(\sigma(t))^{2}}\right)^{5 / 4}\left(\frac{1}{t(\sigma(t))^{6}}\right)^{-1 / 4}=\frac{4}{5 \sqrt[4]{5}} \frac{1}{t \sigma(t)} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[2 n, 2 n+1)_{\mathbb{T}}
$$

and

$$
g_{1}(t)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[2 n+1,2 n+2)_{\mathbb{T}},
$$

which yields

$$
\int_{2}^{t} g_{1}(\tau) \Delta \tau=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{4}{5 \sqrt[4]{5}} \int_{2 k}^{2 k+1} \frac{\Delta \tau}{\tau \sigma(\tau)}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{4}{5 \sqrt[4]{5}} \frac{1}{2 k(2 k+1)}<\infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Then by Corollary 2.1, each solution of (2.6) is oscillatory.
Theorem 2.2. If
(2.7) $\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)+g_{2}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau=-\infty$ and $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)-g_{2}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau=\infty$,
then every solution of equation (1.2) is oscillatory.
Proof. We proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\delta>0$. From (1.2), we obtain, for $t \geq t_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\Delta}(t)= & f(t)-p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))-q_{2}(t) x^{\beta}(h(t)) \\
= & f(t)+\delta p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t)) \\
\quad & \quad-(1+\delta) p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{2}(t) x^{\beta}(h(t)) \\
\leq & f(t)+\delta p_{+}(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t)) \\
& \quad+(1+\delta) p_{-}(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{2}(t) x^{\beta}(h(t)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $a_{1}, a_{2} \geq 0$ and $b_{1}, b_{2} \geq 0$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1}(t) & :=\gamma^{-1 / \alpha} q_{1}^{1 / \alpha}(t) x(h(t)), \\
b_{1}(t) & :=\alpha^{1 /(\gamma-\alpha)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\alpha(\alpha-\gamma))} \delta^{1 /(\alpha-\gamma)} p_{+}^{1 /(\alpha-\gamma)}(t) q_{1}^{\gamma /(\alpha(\gamma-\alpha))}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2}(t) & :=\gamma^{-1 / \beta} q_{2}^{1 / \beta}(t) x(h(t)) \\
b_{2}(t) & :=\alpha^{1 /(\gamma-\beta)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\beta(\beta-\gamma))}(1+\delta)^{1 /(\beta-\gamma)} p_{-}^{1 /(\beta-\gamma)}(t) q_{2}^{\gamma /(\beta(\gamma-\beta))}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies by Lemma 1.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta p_{+}(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t))= \alpha\left(\gamma^{-1 / \alpha} q_{1}^{1 / \alpha}(t) x(h(t))\right)^{\gamma} \times \\
& \times\left(\alpha^{1 /(\gamma-\alpha)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\alpha(\alpha-\gamma))} \delta^{1 /(\alpha-\gamma)} p_{+}^{1 /(\alpha-\gamma)}(t) q_{1}^{\gamma /(\alpha(\gamma-\alpha))}(t)\right)^{\alpha-\gamma} \\
&-\gamma\left(\gamma^{-1 / \alpha} q_{1}^{1 / \alpha}(t) x(h(t))\right)^{\alpha} \\
&= \gamma a_{1}^{\alpha}(t)-\alpha a_{1}^{\gamma}(t) b_{1}^{\alpha-\gamma}(t) \leq(\alpha-\gamma) b_{1}^{\alpha}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1+\delta) p_{-}(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{2}(t) x^{\beta}(h(t))= \beta\left(\gamma^{-1 / \beta} q_{2}^{1 / \beta}(t) x(h(t))\right)^{\gamma} \times \\
& \times\left(\beta^{1 /(\gamma-\beta)} \gamma^{\gamma /(\beta(\beta-\gamma))}(1+\delta)^{1 /(\beta-\gamma)} p_{-}^{1 /(\beta-\gamma)}(t) q_{2}^{\gamma /(\beta(\gamma-\beta))}(t)\right)^{\beta-\gamma} \\
&-\gamma\left(\gamma^{-1 / \beta} q_{2}^{1 / \beta}(t) x(h(t))\right)^{\beta} \\
&= \gamma a_{2}^{\beta}(t)-\beta a_{2}^{\gamma}(t) b_{2}^{\beta-\gamma}(t) \leq(\beta-\gamma) b_{2}^{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
x^{\Delta}(t) \leq f(t)+g_{2}(t),
$$

and the rest of the proof is line by line the same as the proof of Theorem 2.1 with $g_{1}$ replaced by $g_{2}$.

Corollary 2.2. If (2.3) holds and
(2.8) $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p_{+}^{\alpha /(\alpha-\gamma)}(\tau) q_{1}^{\gamma /(\gamma-\alpha)}(\tau) \Delta \tau<\infty$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p_{-}^{\beta /(\beta-\gamma)}(\tau) q_{2}^{\gamma /(\gamma-\beta)}(\tau) \Delta \tau<\infty$,
then every solution of equation (1.2) is oscillatory.
Proof. As (2.3) and (2.8) imply (2.7), the claim follows from Theorem 2.2.
Remark 2.1.
(a) Let $\gamma=1, \alpha>1, h(t)=t$, and $p$ be a negative rd-continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$. Then Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 reduce to [ $\mathbf{2}$, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2].
(b) Let $0<\gamma<1, \alpha=1, h(t)=t$, and $p$ be a negative rd-continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$. Then Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 reduce to [2, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4].
(c) Let $0<\gamma<1, \alpha>1, h(t)=t$, and $p$ be a negative rd-continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$. Then Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 reduce to [ $\mathbf{2}$, Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 2.8].
(d) Let $0<\gamma<1, \alpha>1, \beta=1, h(t)=t$, and $p$ be a negative rd-continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$. Then Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.2 reduce to [ $\mathbf{2}$, Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 2.8].
(e) If $h(t) \neq t$ or $p$ is not negative, then the results presented above are new.

Example 2.2. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a time scale satisfying (2.5) and consider on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ the equation
(2.9) $x^{\Delta}(t)+p(t) x^{1 / 3}(h(t))+\frac{1}{t(\sigma(t))^{9}} x^{7 / 5}(h(t))+\frac{1}{t \sigma(t)} x(h(t))=\frac{t+\sigma(t)}{\mu(t)} e_{-2 / \mu}\left(\sigma(t), t_{0}\right)$,
where

$$
p(t):= \begin{cases}\frac{-1}{t \sigma(t)} & \text { for } \\ \frac{1}{t(\sigma(t))^{2}} & \text { for } \quad t \in[2 n, 2 n+1)_{\mathbb{T}} \\ & t, 2 n+2)_{\mathbb{T}}\end{cases}
$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As in Example 2.1, (2.3) is satisfied and with $\delta=1 / 2$,

$$
g_{2}(t)=\frac{6}{5} \sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{10}} \frac{1}{t \sigma(t)} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[2 n, 2 n+1)_{\mathbb{T}}
$$

and

$$
g_{2}(t)=\frac{3}{7 \sqrt[6]{14}} \frac{1}{t \sigma(t)} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[2 n+1,2 n+2)_{\mathbb{T}}
$$

implies as in Example 2.1 that (2.8) is satisfied. By Corollary 2.2, each solution of (2.9) is oscillatory.

We conclude this section by mentioning that the same arguments as above may be used to establish criteria that guarantee that all nonoscillatory solutions of any of the equations (1.1) and (1.2) are bounded. This is summarized as follows.

Teorem 2.3. If (2.4) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}|f(\tau)| \Delta \tau<\infty \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1) is bounded.
Theorem 2.4. If (2.8) and (2.10) hold, then every nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.2) is bounded.

## 3. SECOND ORDER DYNAMIC EQUATIONS

In this section, we give oscillation criteria for solutions to second order dynamic equations of the form (1.3) and (1.4).

Theorem 3.1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s=-\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)-g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda}=\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then every solution of equation (1.3) is oscillatory.
Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we assume that $x$ is an eventually positive solution of (1.3). Hence there exists $t_{1} \in \mathbb{T}, t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, such that $x(t)>0$ and $x(h(t))>0$ for $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, by Lemma 1.1, we get for $t \geq t_{1}$

$$
\left(r\left(x^{\Delta}\right)^{\lambda}\right)^{\Delta}(t)=f(t)-p(t) x^{\gamma}(h(t))-q_{1}(t) x^{\alpha}(h(t)) \leq f(t)+g_{1}(t)
$$

Through integration, we obtain for $t \geq t_{1}$

$$
r(t)\left(x^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\lambda} \leq r\left(t_{1}\right)\left(x^{\Delta}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{\lambda}+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau=c+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau
$$

where

$$
c:=r\left(t_{1}\right)\left(x^{\Delta}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{\lambda}-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau .
$$

Therefore, for $t \geq t_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t) & \leq x\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s \\
& =c_{*}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
c_{*}:=x\left(t_{1}\right)-\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s
$$

Employing condition (3.1), we find

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \leq-\infty
$$

which is a contradiction. The case of an eventually negative solution of (1.3) can be dealt with as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, this time employing (3.2).
Corollary 3.1. If (2.3) and (2.4) hold and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s=-\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s=\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every solution of equation (1.3) is oscillatory.
Proof. We show that (2.3), (2.4), (3.3) and (3.4) imply (3.1) and (3.2) so that the claim follows from Theorem 3.1. By (2.3) and (2.4), there exists $T \geq t_{0}$ such that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{T} f(\tau) \Delta \tau \leq-c-\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} g_{1}(\tau) \Delta \tau \quad \text { for all } \quad c \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s \\
& \quad \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)}\left[c+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} g_{1}(\tau) \Delta \tau+\int_{t_{0}}^{T} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right]+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{T}^{s} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s \\
& \quad \leq \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{T}^{s} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s=\check{c}+\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{T}^{s} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\check{c}:=\int_{t_{0}}^{T}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{T}^{s} f(\tau) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s
$$

so that condition (3.1) follows. By a similar argument, condition (3.2) holds as well.

Remark 3.1. Let $\gamma=1, \alpha>1, h(t)=t$, and $p$ be a negative rd-continuous function on $\mathbb{T}$. Then Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 reduce to [ $\mathbf{2}$, Corollaries 3.2 and 3.3].

As in Theorems 2.2 and 3.1 and Corollary 3.1, we get the following oscillation criteria for equation (1.4).

Corollary 3.2. If

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)+g_{2}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s=-\infty
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\frac{c}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(f(\tau)-g_{2}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda}=\infty
$$

for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then every solution of equation (1.4) is oscillatory.
Corollary 3.3. If (2.3), (2.8), (3.3) and (3.4) hold, then every solution of equation (1.4) is oscillatory.

Example 3.1. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a time scale satisfying (2.5) and consider on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)_{\mathbb{T}}$ the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{t^{2}(\sigma(t))^{2}}{t+\sigma(t)} x^{\Delta}\right)^{\Delta}+p(t) x^{1 / 3}(h(t))+\frac{1}{t(\sigma(t))^{9}} x^{7 / 5}(h(t))+\frac{1}{t \sigma(t)} x(h(t))=G^{\Delta}(t), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(t)=\frac{t^{2}(\sigma(t))^{2}}{\mu(t)} e_{-2 / \mu}\left(\sigma(t), t_{0}\right)
$$

and $p$ is as in Example 2.2. As in [2, Example 3.1], (3.3) and (3.4) are satisfied and also, as in Example 2.2, (2.8) is satisfied. By Corollary 3.3, each solution (3.5) is oscillatory.

Remark 3.2. The recent results due to Agarwal and Bohner [2] do not apply to equations (2.6), (2.9) and (3.5).

Also, as in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, we can obtain results about the boundedness of nonoscillatory solutions of equations (1.3) and (1.4).

Corollary 3.4. If (2.4) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{r(s)}+\frac{1}{r(s)} \int_{t_{0}}^{s}\left(|f(\tau)|+g_{1}(\tau)\right) \Delta \tau\right)^{1 / \lambda} \Delta s<\infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then every nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.3) is bounded.
Corollary 3.5. If (2.8) and (3.6) hold, then every nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.4) is bounded.
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