Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics

available online at http://pefmath.etf.bg.ac.yu

Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 3 (2009), 27-38.

doi:10.2298/AADM0901027G

OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR CERTAIN THIRD ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

Said R. Grace, Ravi P. Agarwal, John R. Graef

Dedicated to the Memory of Professor D. S. Mitrinović (1908-1995)

Some new criteria for the oscillation of all solutions of third order nonlinear difference equations of the form

$$\Delta \left(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha} \right) + q(n)f(x[g(n)]) = 0$$

and

$$\Delta\left(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha}\right) = q(n)f(x[g(n)]) + p(n)h(x[\sigma(n)])$$

with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(n) < \infty$ are established.

1. INTRODUCTION

We will study the oscillatory behavior of solutions of the nonlinear third order difference equations

(1.1)
$$\Delta\left(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha}\right) + q(n)f(x[g(n)]) = 0$$

and

(1.2)
$$\Delta\left(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha}\right) = q(n)f(x[g(n)]) + p(n)h(x[\sigma(n)]),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0) = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}, n_0$ is a nonnegative integer, Δ is the forward difference operator $\Delta x(n) = x(n+1) - x(n)$, and $\{a(n)\}, \{p(n)\}, \{q(n)\}, \{g(n)\}, and \{\sigma(n)\}$ are sequences of real numbers.

The following conditions are always assumed to hold:

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 34A10.

 $Keywords \ and \ Phrases. \ Difference \ equation, \ oscillation, \ nonoscillation, \ comparison.$

(i) α is the ratio of two positive odd integers;

(ii) a(n) > 0 for $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$ and

(1.3)
$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(n) < \infty;$$

(iii) $p(n), q(n) \ge 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$;

(iv) $g, \sigma : \mathbb{N}(n_0) \to \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $g(n) < n, \sigma(n) > n, \Delta g(n) \ge 0$, and $\Delta \sigma(n) \ge 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} g(n) = \infty$;

(v)
$$f, h \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), xf(x) \ge 0, xh(x) \ge 0, f'(x) \ge 0$$
, and $h'(x) \ge 0$ for $x \ne 0$,

(1.4)
$$-f(-xy) \ge f(xy) \ge f(x)f(y) \quad \text{for} \quad xy > 0,$$

and

(1.5)
$$-h(-xy) \ge h(xy) \ge h(x)h(y) \quad \text{for} \quad xy > 0.$$

By a solution of equation (1, i), i = 1, 2, we mean a real sequence $\{x(n)\}$ defined on $\mathbb{N}(n_0)$, which satisfies equation (1, i), i = 1, 2. A nontrivial solution of equation (1, i), i = 1, 2, is said to be *nonoscillatory* if it is either eventually positive or eventually negative, and it is *oscillatory* otherwise. Equation (1, i), i = 1, 2, is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

The problem of determining the oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of difference equations with deviating arguments has been a very active area of research in the last three decades. Much of the literature on the subject has been concerned with equations of types (1.1) and (1.2) when $\alpha = 1, a(t) = 1$, and/or equations of different orders. For typical results concerning this case, we refer the reader to [1-7, 10] and the references cited therein. There is however much current interest in the study of the oscillatory behavior of equations (1.1) and (1.2) when $\alpha \neq 1$, and

$$\sum_{n=n_0\geq 0}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(n) = \infty;$$

see, for example, [1, 4-7]. The purpose of this paper is to establish some new criteria for the oscillation of equations (1.1) and (1.2) when condition (1.3) holds. The results obtained here extend and improve many well–known oscillation criteria that have appeared in the literature for special cases of equations (1.1) and (1.2).

2. OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR EQUATION (1.1)

In this section, we present some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of equation (1.1). We begin with the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let conditions (i)–(v), (1.3), and (1.4) hold, and assume that there exist two sequences $\{\xi(n)\}$ and $\{\eta(n)\}, \xi, \eta : \mathbb{N}(n_0) \to \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\Delta \xi(n) \geq 1$

 $0, \Delta \eta(n) \ge 0$, and $g(n) < \xi(n) < \eta(n) < n-1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. If both of the first order difference equations

(2.1)
$$\Delta y(n) + cq(n)f\left(\sum_{k=n_1}^{g(n)-1} \left(\frac{k}{a^{1/\alpha}(n)}\right)\right) f\left(y^{1/\alpha}[g(n)]\right) = 0, \quad n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0),$$

for any constant 0 < c < 1, and

(2.2)
$$\Delta z(n) + q(n)f(\xi(n) - g(n))f\left(\sum_{k=\xi(n)}^{\eta(n)-1} a^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right) \times f\left(z^{1/\alpha}[\eta(n)]\right) = 0, \quad n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0),$$

are oscillatory, and

(2.3)
$$\sum_{\ell=n_1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(\ell)} \sum_{k=n_1}^{\ell-1} q(k) f(g(k)) f\left(\sum_{s=g(k)}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(s) \right) \right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty,$$

then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume, for the sake of a contradiction, that equation (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution $\{x(n)\}$ and that $\{x(n)\}$ is eventually positive. Then, there exists a positive integer $n_1 \ge n_0$ such that x(n) > 0 and x[g(n)] > 0 for $n \ge n_1$. From equation (1.1), we see that $\Delta(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha}) \le 0$ for $n_1 \le n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. There exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0), n_2 \ge n_1$, such that $\Delta x(n)$ and $\Delta^2 x(n)$ are of fixed sign for $n \ge n_2$. There are the following four possibilities to consider.

- (I) $\Delta^2 x(n) > 0$ and $\Delta x(n) > 0$ for $n \ge n_2$;
- (II) $\Delta^2 x(n) > 0$ and $\Delta x(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_2$;
- (III) $\Delta^2 x(n) < 0$ and $\Delta x(n) > 0$ for $n \ge n_2$; and
- (IV) $\Delta^2 x(n) < 0$ and $\Delta x(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_2$.

We note that Case (IV) cannot hold. In fact, if $\Delta^2 x(n) < 0$ and $\Delta x(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_2$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} x(n) = -\infty$, which contradicts the positivity of x(n). We now consider each case.

Case (I). There exist an integer $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0), n_3 \ge n_2$, and a constant b, 0 < b < 1, such that

(2.4)
$$\Delta x(n) \ge bn\Delta^2 x(n) = b \frac{n}{a^{1/\alpha}(n)} y^{1/\alpha}(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_3,$$

where $y(n) = a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha}$. Summing (2.4) from n_3 to $n-1 \ge n_3$, we have

$$x(n) \ge b \sum_{k=n_3}^{n-1} k a^{-1/\alpha}(k) y^{1/\alpha}(k) \ge b \left(\sum_{k=n_3}^{n-1} k a^{-1/\alpha}(k) \right) y^{1/\alpha}(k) \text{ for } n \ge n_3$$

Now, there exists $n_4 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0), n_4 \ge n_3$, such that

(2.5)
$$x[g(n)] \ge b \left(\sum_{k=n_3}^{g(n)-1} k a^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right) y^{1/\alpha}[g(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_4.$$

Using (2.5) and (1.4) in equation (1.1), we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad -\Delta y(n) = q(n)f(x[g(n)]) \ge f(b)q(n)f\left(\sum_{k=n_3}^{g(n)-1} ka^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right) \\ \times f\left(y^{1/\alpha}[g(n)]\right) \text{ for } n \ge n_4$$

Summing the above inequality from $n \ge n_4$ to $u \ge n$ and letting $u \to \infty$, we have

$$y(n) \ge f(b) \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} q(s) f\left(\sum_{k=n_3}^{g(s)-1} k a^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right) f\left(y^{1/\alpha}[g(s)]\right).$$

The sequence $\{y(n)\}$ is obviously strictly decreasing for $n \ge n_4$. Hence, by the discrete analog of Theorem 1 in [9] (also see [6]), we conclude that there exists a positive solution $\{y(n)\}$ of equation (2.1) with $\lim_{n\to\infty} y(n) = 0$. This contradiction completes the proof for this case.

Case (II). For $t \ge s \ge n_0$, we have

$$x(t) - x(s) = \sum_{k=s}^{t-1} \Delta x(k),$$

or

$$x(s) \ge (t-s)(-\Delta x(t)).$$

With s and t replaced by g(n) and $\xi(n)$ respectively, we see that

(2.7)
$$x[g(n)] \ge (\xi(n) - g(n))(-\Delta x[\xi(n)]) \text{ for } n \ge n_2 \ge n_1.$$

Substituting (2.7) into equation (1.1) yields

$$-(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha}) = q(n)f(x[g(n)]) \ge (n)f(\xi(n) - g(n))f(-x'[\xi(n)])$$

for $n \ge n_2$. Setting $z(n) = -\Delta x(n)$, we obtain

(2.8)
$$\Delta \left(a(n)(\Delta z(n))^{\alpha} \right) \ge (n)f(\xi(n) - g(n))f(z[\xi(n)]) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge n_2.$$

Clearly, z(n) > 0 and $\Delta z(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_2$. Next, for $t \ge s \ge n_2$, we have

$$z(s) \ge \sum_{k=s}^{t-1} -\Delta z(k) = \sum_{k=s}^{t-1} a^{-1/\alpha} (k) \left(-a(k) (\Delta z(k))^{\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha}$$
$$\ge \left(\sum_{k=s}^{t-1} a^{-1/\alpha} (k) \right) \left(-a(t) (\Delta z(t))^{\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha}.$$

Replacing s and t with $\xi(n)$ and $\eta(n)$ respectively, we obtain

(2.9)
$$z[\xi(n)] \ge \left(\sum_{k=\xi(n)}^{g(n)-1} a^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right) w^{1/\alpha}[\eta(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_3 \ge n_2,$$

where $w(n) = -a(n)(\Delta z(n))^{\alpha}$. Using (1.4) and (2.9) in (2.8), we have

$$\Delta w(n) + q(n)f(\xi(n) - g(n))f\left(\sum_{k=\xi(n)}^{\eta(n)-1} a^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right) f\left(w^{1/\alpha}[\eta(n)]\right) \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_3.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Case (I) above, and hence is omitted.

Case (III). There exist $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0), n_2 \ge n_1$, and a constant 0 < d < 1 such that

 $x(n) \ge dn\Delta x(n)$ for $n \ge n_2$.

Hence, there exists $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0), n_3 \ge n_2$, such that

(2.10)
$$x[g(n)] \ge dg(n)v[g(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_3,$$

where $v(n) = \Delta x(n) > 0$. Using (2.10) and (1.4) in equation (1.1), we have

(2.11)
$$\Delta\left(a(n)(\Delta v(n))^{\alpha}\right) + f(d)q(n)f(g(n))f(v[g(n)]) \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_3.$$

We see that v(n) > 0 and $\Delta v(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_3$. Now, for $s \ge t \ge n_3$, it can easily be seen that

$$a(s)(-\Delta v(s))^{\alpha} \ge a(t)(-\Delta v(t))^{\alpha},$$

or equivalently,

(2.12)
$$-a^{1/\alpha}(s)\Delta v(s) \ge -a^{1/\alpha}(t)\Delta v(t) \text{ for } s \ge t \ge n_3.$$

Dividing (2.12) by $a^{-1/\alpha}(s)$ and summing from t = n to $u - 1 \ge n \ge n_3$, we have

$$v(n) \ge v(n) - v(u) \ge \left(-a^{1/\alpha}(n)\Delta v(n)\right) \sum_{s=n}^{u-1} a^{-1/\alpha}(s).$$

Letting $u \to \infty$ in the above inequality gives

(2.13)
$$v(n) \ge -a^{-1/\alpha}(n)\Delta v(n) \left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(s)\right).$$

Combining (2.13) with the inequality

$$-a^{1/\alpha}(n)\Delta v(n) \ge -a^{-1/\alpha}(a_3)\Delta v(n_3) \text{ for } n \ge n_3,$$

which is implied by (2.12), we find that

$$v(n) \ge -a^{1/\alpha}(t_3)\Delta v(n_3)\left(\sum_{s=n}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(s)\right)$$
 for $n \ge n_3$.

Thus, there exist a constant $\overline{d} > 0$ and $n_4 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0), n_4 \ge n_3$, such that

(2.14)
$$v[g(t)] \ge \overline{d} \sum_{s=g(n)}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(s) \text{ for } n \ge n_4.$$

Summing inequality (2.11) from n_3 to $n-1 \ge n_3$ yields

(2.15)
$$f(d) \sum_{k=n_3}^{n-1} q(k) f(g(k)) f(v[g(k)]) \le a(n_3) (\Delta v(n_3))^{\alpha} - a(n) (\Delta v(n))^{\alpha}.$$

Using (2.14) and (1.4) in (2.15) gives

(2.16)
$$\overline{c}\left(\frac{1}{a(n)}\sum_{k=n_3}^{n-1}q(k)f(g(k))f\left(\sum_{s=g(k)}^{\infty}a^{-1/\alpha}(s)\right)\right)^{1/\alpha} \le -\Delta v(n),$$

where $\overline{c} = (f(d)f(\overline{d}))^{1/\alpha}$. Summing (2.16) from n_3 to $n-1 \ge n_3$, we have

$$\overline{c} \sum_{\ell=n_3}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{a(\ell)} \sum_{k=n_3}^{\ell-1} q(k) f(g(k)) f\left(\sum_{s=g(k)}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(s)\right) \right)^{1/\alpha} \le v(n_3) - v(n) \le v(t_3) < \infty.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality, we obtain a contradiction to condition (2.3). This completes the proof of the theorem.

If we combine equations (2.1) and (2.2) into one by letting

(2.17)
$$Q(n) = \min\left\{ cq(n) f\left(\sum_{k=n_1}^{g(n)-1} ka^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right), \\ q(n) f(\xi(n) - g(n)) f\left(\sum_{k=\xi(n)}^{\eta(n)-1} a^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right) \right\}$$

for any constant 0 < c < 1 and all $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$, then we can easily see that equations (2.1) and (2.2) can be replaced by

(2.18)
$$\Delta w(n) + Q(n)f\left(w^{1/\alpha}[\eta(n)]\right) = 0.$$

REMARK 2.1. We note that the results of this paper are presented in a form that allows us to extract results for equation (1.1) that are valid in case

(2.19)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(n) = \infty.$$

The reason for this is that condition (1.3) is only needed in the proof of Case (III) above. Thus, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Let conditions (i)–(v), (1.4), and (2.19) hold, and assume that there exist two real sequences $\{\xi(n)\}$ and $\{\eta(n)\}$ such that $\Delta\xi(n) \ge 0, \Delta\eta(n) \ge 0$, and $g(n) < \xi(n) < \eta(n) < n - 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. If equation (2.18) is oscillatory, then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is exactly the same as that of Cases (I) and (II) in Theorem 2.1 and so is omitted. \Box

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 2.1. Let conditions (i)–(v), (1.3), (1.4), and (2.3) hold, and assume that there exist real nondecreasing sequences $\{\xi(n)\}$ and $\{\eta(n)\}$ such that $g(n) < \xi(n) < \eta(n) < n-1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. Then (1.1) is oscillatory if one of the following conditions holds:

$$(I_1) \quad \frac{f(u^{1/\alpha})}{u} \ge 1 \text{ for } u \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=\eta(n)}^{n-1} Q(k) > 1;$$

$$(I_2) \quad \int_{\pm 0} \frac{du}{f(u^{1/\alpha})} < \infty, \text{ and} \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(n) = \infty;$$

$$(I_3) \quad \frac{u}{f(u^{1/\alpha})} \to 0 \text{ as } u \to \infty, \text{ and}$$
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=\eta(n)}^{n-1} Q(k) > 0$$

3. OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR EQUATION (1.2)

The purpose of this section is to establish criteria for the oscillation of equation (1.2) which contains mixed nonlinearities and functional arguments.

Theorem 3.1. Let conditions (i)–(v) and (1.3)–(1.5) hold, and assume that there exist nondecreasing sequences of real numbers $\{\xi(n)\}, \{\rho(n)\}, \text{ and } \{\theta(n)\}$ such that $g(n) < \xi(n) < n-1$ and $\sigma(n) > \rho(n) > \theta(n) > n+1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. If the first order advanced difference equation

(3.1)
$$\Delta y(n) - p(n)h(\sigma(n) - \rho(n))h\left(\frac{\rho(n) - \theta(n)}{a^{1/\alpha}(\theta(n))}\right)h\left(y^{1/\alpha}[\theta(n)]\right) = 0,$$

and the first order delay difference equation

(3.2)
$$\Delta w(n) + cq(n)f(g(n))f\left(\frac{\xi(n) - g(n)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(n)]}\right)f\left(w^{1/\alpha}[\xi(n)]\right) = 0,$$

for every constant 0 < c < 1 are oscillatory, and

(3.3)
$$\sum_{u=n_0\geq 0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{a(u)} \sum_{s=n_0}^{u-1} q(s) f(\xi(s) - g(s)) f\left(\sum_{k=\xi(s)}^{\infty} a^{-1/\alpha}(k)\right)\right)^{1/\alpha} = \infty,$$

then equation (1.2) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $\{x(n)\}$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.2), say, x(n) > 0, x[g(n)] > 0, and $x[\sigma(n)] > 0$ for $n \ge n_0 \ge 0$. It is easy to see that $\Delta x(n)$ and $\Delta^2 x(n)$ are of fixed sign for $n \ge n_1 \ge n_0$. Again there are four possibilities to consider.

- (I) $\Delta^2 x(n) > 0$ and $\Delta x(n) > 0$ for $n \ge n_1$;
- (II) $\Delta^2 x(n) < 0$ and $\Delta x(n) > 0$ for $n \ge n_1$;
- (III) $\Delta^2 x(n) > 0$ and $\Delta x(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_1$; and
- (IV) $\Delta^2 x(n) < 0$ and $\Delta x(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_1$.

Case (IV) cannot hold since $\Delta^2 x(n) < 0$ and $\Delta x(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_1$ would imply $\lim_{n \to \infty} x(n) = -\infty$, which contradicts the positivity of x(n).

Case (I). For $t \ge s \ge n_1$, we have

$$x(t) - x(s) = \sum_{k=s}^{t-1} \Delta x(k),$$

and hence

$$x(t) \ge (t-s)\Delta x(s).$$

Replacing t and s by $\sigma(n)$ and $\rho(n)$ respectively, we obtain

(3.4)
$$x[\sigma(n)] \ge (\sigma(n) - \rho(n))\Delta x[\rho(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_2 \ge n_1.$$

and substituting into (1.2) gives

(3.5)
$$\Delta\left(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha}\right) \ge p(n)h(x[\sigma(n)])$$

 $\geq p(n)h(\sigma(n) - \rho(n))h(\Delta x[\rho(n)])$ for $n \geq n_2$.

Setting $y(n) = \Delta x(n)$ in (3.5), we have

(3.6)
$$\Delta(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^{\alpha}) \ge p(n)h(\sigma(n) - \rho(n))h(y[\rho(n)]) \text{ for } n \ge n_2.$$

Once again, for $t \ge s \ge n_2$, we have $y(t) \ge (t-s)\Delta y(s)$, so replacing t and s by $\rho(n)$ and $\theta(n)$ respectively yields

(3.7)
$$y[\rho(n)] \ge (\rho(n) - \theta(n))\Delta y[\theta(n)]$$

$$= \left(\frac{\rho(n) - \theta(n)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\theta(n)]}\right) z^{1/\alpha}[\theta(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_3 \ge n_2,$$

where $z(n) = a(n)(\Delta y(n))^{\alpha}$. Using (3.7) and (1.5) in (3.6) gives

(3.8)
$$\Delta z(n) \ge p(n)h(\sigma(n) - \rho(n))h\left(\frac{\rho(n) - \theta(n)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\theta(n)]}\right)h\left(z^{1/\alpha}[\theta(n)]\right) \text{ for } n \ge n_3.$$

By known results in [6, 8], we arrive at the desired contradiction.

Case (II). There exist $n_1 \ge n_0$ and a constant 0 < b < 1 such that

$$x(n) \ge bn\Delta x(n)$$
 for $n \ge n_1$,

so there exists $n_2 \ge n_1$ such that

(3.9)
$$x[g(n)] \ge bg(n)y[g(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_2,$$

where $y(n) = \Delta x(n)$. From (3.9) and (1.4), equation (1.2) becomes

(3.10)
$$\Delta \left(a(n)(\Delta y(n))^{\alpha} \right) = \Delta \left(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha} \right) = q(n)f(x[g(n)])$$

$$\geq f(b)q(n)f(g(n))f(y[g(n)]) \text{ for } n \geq n_2.$$

Clearly, y(n) > 0 and $\Delta y(n) < 0$ for $n \ge n_2$. Now for $t \ge s \ge n_2$, we have

$$y(s) \ge (t-s)(-\Delta y(t)).$$

Replacing s and t by g(n) and $\xi(n)$ respectively, we obtain

$$y[g(n)] \ge (\xi(n) - g(n))(-\Delta y[\xi(n)])$$
 for $n \ge n_3 \ge n_2$,

or

(3.11)
$$y[g(n)] \ge \left(\frac{\xi(n) - g(n)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(n)]}\right) z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_3,$$

where $z(n) = a(n)(\Delta y(n))^{\alpha}$. Inserting (3.11) into (3.10), we see that

$$\Delta z(n) + f(b)q(n)f(g(n))f\left(\frac{\xi(n) - g(n)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(n)]}\right) f\left(z^{1/\alpha}[\xi(n)]\right) \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_3.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Case (I) of Theorem 2.1.

Case (III). For $t \ge s \ge n_1$, we have $x(s) \ge (t-s)(-\Delta x(t))$, and replacing s and t with g(n) and $\xi(n)$ respectively gives

(3.12)
$$x[g(n)] \ge (\xi(n) - g(n))w[\xi(n)] \text{ for } n \ge n_2 \ge n_1,$$

where $w(n) = -\Delta x(n)$. Using (3.12) in equation (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta \left(a(n)(\Delta w(n))^{\alpha} \right) &= \Delta \left(a(n)(\Delta^2 x(n))^{\alpha} \right) = q(n)f(x[g(n)]) \\ &\geq q(n)f(\xi(n) - g(n))f(w[\xi(n)]), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\Delta (a(n)(\Delta w(n))^{\alpha}) + q(n)f(\xi(n) - g(n))f(w[\xi(n)]) \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_2.$$

The remainder of the proof is similar to that of Case (III) of Theorem 2.1 and is omitted. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\hfill\square$

When condition (2.19) holds, we have the following immediate result.

Theorem 3.2. Let conditions (i)–(v), (1.4), (1.5), and (2.19) hold, and assume that there exist nondecreasing sequences $\{\xi(n)\}, \{\rho(n)\}, \text{ and } \{\theta(n)\}$ such that $g(n) < \xi(n) < n-1$ and $\sigma(n) > \rho(n) > \theta(n) > n+1$ for all $n_0 \le n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. If equations (3.1) and (3.2) are oscillatory, then equation (1.2) is oscillatory.

From Theorem 3.1, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 3.1. Let conditions (i)–(v), (1.3)–(1.5), and (3.3) hold, and assume that there exist nondecreasing real sequences $\{\xi(n)\}, \{\rho(n)\}, \text{ and } \{\theta(n)\}$ such that $g(n) < \xi(n) < n-1$ and $\sigma(n) > \rho(n) > \theta(n) > n+1$ for $n_0 \le n \in \mathbb{N}(n_0)$. Equation (1.2) is oscillatory if one of the following conditions holds:

$$(\mathrm{II})_{1} \quad \frac{h(u^{1/\alpha})}{u} \geq 1 \text{ and } \frac{f(u^{1/\alpha})}{u} \geq 1 \text{ for } u \neq 0,$$
$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\theta(n)-1} p(k)h(\sigma(k) - \rho(k))h\left(\frac{\rho(k) - \theta(k)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\theta(k)]}\right) > 1,$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=\xi(n)}^{n-1} q(k) f(g(k)) f\left(\frac{\xi(k) - g(k)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(k)]}\right) > 1;$$

(II)₂
$$\int^{\pm\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{h(u^{1/\alpha})} < \infty \text{ and } \int_{\pm0} \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{f(u^{1/\alpha})} < \infty,$$

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} p(k)h(\sigma(k) - \rho(k))h\left(\frac{\rho(k) - \theta(k)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\theta(k)]}\right) = \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} q(k)f(g(k))f\left(\frac{\xi(k) - g(k)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(k)]}\right) = \infty;$$

(II)₃
$$\frac{u}{h(u^{1/\alpha})} \to 0 \text{ as } u \to \infty \text{ and } \frac{u}{f(u^{1/\alpha})} \to 0 \text{ as } u \to \infty,$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\theta(n)-1} p(k)h(\sigma(k) - \rho(k))h\left(\frac{\rho(k) - \theta(k)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\theta(k)]}\right) > 0,$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=\xi(n)}^{n-1} q(k) f(g(k)) f\left(\frac{\xi(k) - g(k)}{a^{1/\alpha}[\xi(k)]}\right) > 0.$$

4. GENERAL REMARKS

1. The results of this paper are presented in a form which are essentially new and of a high degree of generality. They unify, improve, and extend many well-known oscillation criteria that have appeared in the literature for some special cases of equations (1.1) and (1.2).

2. We note that conditions (1.4) and (1.5) are automatically satisfied if we let $f(x) = x^{\beta}$ and $h(x) = x^{\gamma}$, where β and γ are ratio of positive odd integers.

3. The results of this paper can be easily extended to neutral difference equations of the form

$$\Delta\left(a(n)\left(\Delta^2(x(n)+c(n)x[\tau(n)])\right)^{\alpha}\right)+q(n)f(x[g(n)])=0$$

and

$$\Delta\left(a(n)\left(\Delta^2(x(n)+c(n)x[\tau(n)])\right)^{\alpha}\right) = q(n)f(x[g(n)]) + p(n)h(x[\sigma(n)]),$$

where $\{c(n)\}\$ and $\{\tau(n)\}\$ are sequences of real numbers and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\tau(n)=\infty$. The formulation of results for the above neutral equations are easy and the details are left to the reader.

REFERENCES

- R. P. AGARWAL: Difference Equations and Inequalities. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992.
- R. P. AGARWAL, P. J. Y. WONG: Advanced Topics in Difference Equations. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997.
- 3. R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE, D. O'REGAN: Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations. Kluwer Dordrecht, 2000.
- R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE: Oscillation criteria for certain higher order difference equations. Math. Sci. Res. J., 6 (2002), 60–64.
- R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE, D. O'REGAN: On the oscillation of certain second order difference equations. J. Difference Equ. Appl., 9(2003), 109–119.
- 6. R. P. AGARWAL, M. BOHNER, S. R. GRACE, D. O'REGAN: *Discrete Oscillation Theory.* Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York, 2005.
- R. P. AGARWAL, S. R. GRACE, D. O'REGAN: On the oscillation of higher order difference equations. Soochow J. Math., 31 (2005), 245–259.

- 8. I. GYÖRI, G. LADAS: Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- CH. G. PHILOS: On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at ∞ for differential equations with positive delays. Arch. Math., 36 (1981), 168–178.
- A. WYRWINSKA: Oscillation criteria for higher order linear difference equations. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 22(1994), 259–266.

Department of Engineering Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Orman, Giza 12221, Egypt E-mail: srgrace@eng.cu.edu.eg (Received July 22, 2008)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901, USA E-mail: agarwal@fit.edu

Department of Mathematics, The University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Chattanooga, TN 37403, USA Email: John-Graef@utc.edu