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We consider certain linear positive operators in polynomial weighted spaces of functions of one and two variables and study approximation properties of these operators, including theorems on the degree of approximation.

## 1. APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTION OF ONE VARIABLE

1.1. Introduction. Approximation properties of the Szasz-Mirakyan operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(f ; x):=e^{-n x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n x)^{k}}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad\left(x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}=[0,+\infty), n \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in polynomial weighted spaces $C_{p}$ were examined in [1]. The space $C_{p}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=$ $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$, considered in $[\mathbf{1}]$ is associated with the weight function

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}(x):=1, \quad w_{p}(x):=\left(1+x^{p}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text { if } p \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consists of all real-valued functions $f$, continuous on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$ and such that $w_{p} f$ is uniformly continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$. The norm on $C_{p}$ is defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p} \equiv\|f(\cdot)\|_{p}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}} w_{p}(x)|f(x)| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

These operators are very interesting approximation processes and have many nice properties.

In this note we introduce in the space $C_{p}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ a new modification of the Szasz-Mirakyan operators.

Let $C_{p}$ be the space given above and let for fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
C_{p}^{m}:=\left\{f \in C_{p}: f^{(k)} \in C_{p}, k=1,2, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

For $f \in C_{p}$ we define the modulus of continuity $\omega_{1}(f ; \cdot)$ as usual ([2]) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; t\right):=\sup _{0 \leq h \leq t}\left\|\Delta_{h} f(\cdot)\right\|_{p} \quad\left(t \in \mathbb{R}_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{h} f(x):=f(x+h)-f(x)$ for $x, h \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$. From the above it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; t\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in C_{p}$.
We introduce the following class of operators in $C_{p}, p \in \mathbb{N}$.
Definition 1. Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. We define the class of operators $A_{n}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(f ; r ; x):=\frac{1}{g(n x ; r)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n x)^{k}}{(k+r)!} f\left(\frac{k+r}{n}\right) \quad\left(x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t ; r):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k}}{(k+r)!} \quad\left(t \in \mathbb{R}_{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
g(0 ; r)=\frac{1}{r!}, \quad g(t, r)=\frac{1}{t^{r}}\left(e^{t}-\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{t^{j}}{j!}\right) \quad \text { if } t>0 .
$$

The operator $A_{n}$ is linear and positive. In Section 2 we shall prove that $A_{n}$ is an operator from the space $C_{p}$ into $C_{p}$ for every fixed $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
1.2. Auxiliary results. In this section we shall give some properties of above operators, which we shall apply to the proofs of the main theorems.

From (6)-(7) we derive the following:

Lemma 1. For each $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{l}
A_{n}(1 ; r ; x)=1, \quad \\
A_{n}(t ; r ; x)=x+\frac{1}{n(r-1)!g(n x ; r)} \\
A_{n}\left(t^{2} ; r ; x\right)=x^{2}+\frac{x}{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right)+\frac{r}{n^{2}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}, \\
A_{n}\left(t^{3} ; r ; x\right)=x^{3}+\frac{x^{2}}{n}\left(3+\frac{1}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right)+\frac{x}{n^{2}}\left(1+\frac{r+2}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right) \\
\\
\\
\quad+\frac{r^{2}}{n^{3}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}, \\
A_{n}\left(t^{4} ; r ; x\right)=x^{4}+\frac{x^{3}}{n}\left(6+\frac{1}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right)+\frac{x^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(7+\frac{r+5}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right) \\
\\
\\
\\
\end{array} \quad \frac{x}{n^{3}}\left(1+\frac{r^{2}+3 r+3}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right)+\frac{r^{3}}{n^{4}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 it is easy to prove the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be a fixed number. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}(t-x ; r ; x) & =\frac{1}{n(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}, \\
A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)= & \frac{x}{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right)+\frac{r}{n^{2}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}, \\
A_{n}\left((t-x)^{3} ; r ; x\right)= & \left(\frac{r}{n}-x\right)^{2} \frac{1}{n(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+\frac{x}{n^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right), \\
A_{n}\left((t-x)^{4} ; r ; x\right)= & \left(\frac{r}{n}-x\right)^{3} \frac{1}{n(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+\frac{x^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(3-\frac{3}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right) \\
& +\frac{x}{n^{3}}\left(1+\frac{3 r+3}{(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. Fix $s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist coefficients $\alpha_{s, j}$, depending only on $j, s$ and $\beta_{s, j}(r)$, depending only on $r, j$ and $s, 0 \leq j \leq s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}\left(t^{s} ; r ; x\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{x^{j}}{n^{s-j}}\left(\alpha_{s, j}+\frac{\beta_{s, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$. Moreover $\alpha_{0,0}=1, \beta_{0,0}(r)=0$ and $\alpha_{s, 0}=\beta_{s, s}(r)=0$, $\alpha_{s, s}=1, \beta_{s, 0}(r)=r^{s-1} /(r-1)$ ! for $s \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. We shall use mathematical induction for $s$.The formula (9) for $s=0,1,2,3$ is given above. Let (9) hold for $f(x)=x^{j}, 0 \leq j \leq s$, with fixed $s \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall prove (9) for $f(x)=x^{s+1}$. From (6) and (7) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}\left(t^{s+1} ; r ; x\right) & =\frac{r^{s}}{n^{s+1}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+\frac{1}{g(n x ; r)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n x)^{k}}{(k+r-1)!} \frac{(k+r)^{s}}{n^{s+1}} \\
& =\frac{r^{s}}{n^{s+1}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+\frac{x}{g(n x ; r)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n x)^{k}}{(k+r)!} \frac{(k+r+1)^{s}}{n^{s}} \\
& =\frac{r^{s}}{n^{s+1}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+\frac{x}{g(n x ; r)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n x)^{k}}{(k+r)!} \frac{1}{n^{s}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{s}\binom{s}{\mu}(k+r)^{\mu} \\
& =\frac{r^{s}}{n^{s+1}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+x \sum_{\mu=0}^{s}\binom{s}{\mu} \frac{1}{n^{s-\mu}} A_{n}\left(t^{\mu} ; r ; x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By our assumption we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}\left(t^{s+1} ; r ; x\right)= & \frac{r^{s}}{n^{s+1}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+x \sum_{\mu=0}^{s}\binom{s}{\mu} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \frac{x^{j}}{n^{s-j}}\left(\alpha_{\mu, j}+\frac{\beta_{\mu, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right) \\
= & \frac{r^{s}}{n^{s+1}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+x \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{x^{j}}{n^{s-j}} \sum_{\mu=j}^{s}\binom{s}{\mu}\left(\alpha_{\mu, j}+\frac{\beta_{\mu, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right) \\
= & \frac{r^{s}}{n^{s+1}(r-1)!g(n x ; r)}+x \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{x^{j-1}}{n^{s+1-j}}\left(\sum_{\mu=j-1}^{s}\binom{s}{\mu} \alpha_{\mu, j-1}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{g(n x ; r)} \sum_{\mu=j-1}^{s}\binom{s}{\mu} \beta_{\mu, j-1}(r)\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \frac{x^{j}}{n^{s+1-j}}\left(\alpha_{s+1, j}+\frac{\beta_{s+1, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\alpha_{s+1,0}=\beta_{s+1, s+1}(r)=0, \alpha_{s+1, s+1}=1, \beta_{s+1,0}(r)=r^{s} /(r-1)$ !, which proves (10) for $f(x)=x^{s+1}$. Hence the proof of (10) is completed.

Next we shall prove
Lemma 4. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed numbers. Then there exists a positive constant $M_{2} \equiv M_{2}(p, r)$, depending only on the parameters $p$ and $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{n}\left(1 / w_{p}(t) ; r ; \cdot\right)\right\|_{p} \leq M_{2} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover for every $f \in C_{p}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{n}(f ; r ; \cdot)\right\|_{p} \leq M_{2}\|f\|_{p} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (6) and inequality (11) show that $A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a positive linear operator from the space $C_{p}$ into $C_{p}$, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Proof. The inequality (10) is obvious for $p=0$ by (2), (3) and (8). Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
From (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{g(t ; r)} \leq r!\quad \text { for } t \in \mathbb{R}_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (12) and by (2) and (6)-(9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(1 / w_{p}(t) ; r ; x\right) & =w_{p}(x)\left(1+A_{n}\left(t^{p} ; r ; x\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{1+x^{p}}+\sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{x^{j}}{n^{p-j}\left(1+x^{p}\right)}\left(\alpha_{p, j}+\frac{\beta_{p, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right) \\
& \leq 1+\sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{x^{j}}{1+x^{p}}\left(\alpha_{p, j}+r!\beta_{p, j}(r)\right) \leq M_{2}(p, r),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M_{2}(p, r)$ is a positive constant depending only $p$ and $r$. From this follows (10).

The formulas (6)-(7) and (2) imply

$$
\left\|A_{n}(f(t) ; r ; \cdot)\right\|_{p} \leq\|f\|_{p}\left\|A_{n}\left(1 / w_{p}(t) ; r ; \cdot\right)\right\|_{p} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N})
$$

for every $f \in C_{p}$. Applying (10), we obtain (11).
Lemma 5. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed numbers. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$ there exists a positive constant $M_{3} \equiv M_{3}(p, r)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(\frac{(t-x)^{2}}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right) \leq M_{3} \frac{x+1}{n} \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The formulas given in Lemma 2 and (2) imply (13) for $p=0$. By (2) and (8) we have

$$
A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} / w_{p}(t) ; r ; x\right)=A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)+A_{n}\left(t^{p}(t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)
$$

for $p, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. If $p=1$ then by the equality we get

$$
A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} / w_{1}(t) ; r ; x\right)=A_{n}\left((t-x)^{3} ; r ; x\right)+(1+x) A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)
$$

which by (2) and Lemma 2 yields (13) for $p=1$. Let $p \geq 2$. Applying Lemma 3 and (2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(t^{p}(t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right) \\
& =w_{p}(x)\left(A_{n}\left(t^{p+2} ; r ; x\right)-2 x A_{n}\left(t^{p+1} ; r ; x\right)+x^{2} A_{n}\left(t^{p} ; r ; x\right)\right) \\
& =w_{p}(x)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{x^{j}}{n^{p+2-j}}\left(\alpha_{p+2, j}+\frac{\beta_{p+2, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)\right. \\
& \quad-2 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{x^{j+1}}{n^{p+1-j}}\left(\alpha_{p+1, j}+\frac{\beta_{p+1, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \frac{x^{j+2}}{n^{p-j}}\left(\alpha_{p, j}+\frac{\beta_{p, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right) \\
& \quad+\frac{x^{p+1}}{n}\left(\left(\alpha_{p+2, p+1}+\frac{\beta_{p+2, p+1}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)-2\left(\alpha_{p+1, p}+\frac{\beta_{p+1, p}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left.+\left(\alpha_{p, p-1}+\frac{\beta_{p, p-1}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)\right)\right) \\
\leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{p} \frac{x^{j}}{1+x^{p}}\left(\alpha_{p+2, j}+\frac{\beta_{p+2, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)-2 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \frac{x^{j+1}}{1+x^{p}}\left(\alpha_{p+1, j}+\frac{\beta_{p+1, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \frac{x^{j+2}}{1+x^{p}}\left(\alpha_{p, j}+\frac{\beta_{p, j}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)\right)+\frac{x^{p}}{1+x^{p}} \frac{x}{n}\left(\left(\alpha_{p+2, p+1}+\frac{\beta_{p+2, p+1}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)\right. \\
\left.-2\left(\alpha_{p+1, p}+\frac{\beta_{p+1, p}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)+\left(\alpha_{p, p-1}+\frac{\beta_{p, p-1}(r)}{g(n x ; r)}\right)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

which by (12) implies

$$
w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(t^{p}(t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right) \leq M_{4}(p, r) \frac{x+1}{n}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the proof is completed.
1.3. Theorems. In this part we shall some estimates of the rate of convergence of $A_{n}$. We shall use the classical modulus of continuity defined by (4).

We shall apply the method used in [1].
Theorem 1. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed numbers. Then there exists a positive constant $M_{5} \equiv M_{5}(p, r)$ such that for every $f \in C_{p}^{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n}(f ; r ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq M_{5}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$. Then for $f \in C_{p}^{1}$ we have

$$
f(t)-f(x)=\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u \quad\left(t \in R_{0}\right)
$$

From this and by $(6),(7)$ and (8) we get

$$
A_{n}(f(t) ; r ; x)-f(x)=A_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u ; r ; x\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

But by (2) and (3) we have

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) \mathrm{d} u\right| \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}(t)}+\frac{1}{w_{p}(x)}\right)|t-x| \quad\left(t \in \mathbb{R}_{0}\right)
$$

which implies
(15) $\quad w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n}(f ; r ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left(A_{n}(|t-x| ; r ; x)+w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right)\right)$
for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the HöLDER inequality and by (8) and Lemmas $2,4,5$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n}(|t-x| ; r ; x) \leq\left(A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right) A_{n}(1 ; r ; x)\right)^{1 / 2} \leq M_{3} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}} \\
& w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right) \leq\left(w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(\frac{(t-x)^{2}}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \times \\
& \quad \times\left(w_{p}(x) A_{n}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \leq M_{6}(p, r) \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From this and by (15) we immediately obtain (14).
Theorem 2. Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $M_{7} \equiv M_{7}(p, r)$ such that for every $f \in C_{p}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n}(f ; r ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq M_{7} \omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$.
Proof. We use Steklov function $f_{h}$ of $f \in C_{p}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{h}(x):=\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} f(x+t) \mathrm{d} t \quad\left(x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}, h>0\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (17) we get

$$
f_{h}(x)-f(x)=\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} \Delta_{t} f(x) \mathrm{d} t, \quad f_{h}^{\prime}(x) \frac{1}{h} \Delta_{h} f(x) \quad\left(x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}, \quad h>0\right)
$$

which imply

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|f_{h}-f\right\|_{p} \leq \omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; h\right)  \tag{18}\\
\left\|f_{h}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq h^{-1} \omega\left(f ; C_{p} ; h\right) \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $h>0$. From this we deduce that $f_{h} \in C_{p}^{1}$ if $f \in C_{p}$ and $h>0$. Hence we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq w_{p}(x)\left(\left|A_{n}\left(f-f_{h} ; x\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left|A_{n}\left(f_{h} ; x\right)-f_{h}(x)\right|+\left|f_{h}(x)-f(x)\right|\right): K_{1}(x)+K_{2}(x)+K_{3}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, h>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$. From (11) and (18) we get

$$
K_{1}(x) \leq M_{2}\left\|f-f_{h}\right\|_{p} \leq M_{2} \omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; h\right), \quad K_{3}(x) \leq \omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; h\right)
$$

By Theorem 1 and (19) it follows that

$$
K_{2}(x) \leq M_{5}\left\|f_{h}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}} \leq M_{5} h^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}} \omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; h\right) .
$$

Consequently

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n}(f ; r ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq\left(1+M_{2}+\frac{M_{5}}{h} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{n}}\right) \omega_{1}\left(f ; C_{p} ; h\right)
$$

Setting $h=\sqrt{(x+1) / n}$ we obtain the assertion of Theorem 2.
From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 and by (5) we obtain
Corollary 1. For every fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in C_{p}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(A_{n}(f ; r ; x)-f(x)\right)=0 \quad\left(x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover (20) holds uniformly on every interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{2}>x_{1} \geq 0$.
Now, we shall give the Voronovskaya type theorem for $A_{n}$.
Theorem 3. Suppose that $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, r \in \mathbb{N}$ are fixed numbers and $f \in C_{p}^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(A_{n}(f ; r ; x)-f(x)\right)=\frac{x}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x>0$.
Proof. Let $x>0$ be a fixed point. Then by the TAYLOR formula we have

$$
f(t)=f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)(t-x)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)(t-x)^{2}+\varepsilon(t ; x)(t-x)^{2}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$, where $\varepsilon(t) \equiv \varepsilon(t ; x)$ is a function belonging to $C_{p}$ and $\varepsilon(x)=0$. Hence by (6) and (8) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}(f ; r ; x)=f(x)+f^{\prime}(x) A_{n}(t-x ; r ; x) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x) A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)+A_{n}\left(\varepsilon(t)(t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

which by Lemma 2 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(A_{n}(f ; r ; x)-f(x)\right)=\frac{x}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n A_{n}\left(\varepsilon(t)(t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the HÖLDER inequality we have

$$
\left|A_{n}\left(\varepsilon(t)(t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)\right| \leq\left(A_{n}\left(\left(\varepsilon^{2}(t) ; x\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(A_{n}\left((t-x)^{4} ; x\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

The properties of $\varepsilon$ and Corollary 1 imply that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n}\left(\varepsilon^{2}(t) ; r ; x\right)=\varepsilon^{2}(x)=0
$$

From this and by Lemma 2 we deduce that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n A_{n}\left(\varepsilon(t)(t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)=0
$$

and from (23) follows (21).

## 2. APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES

2.1. Preliminaries. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p, q}(x, y):=w_{p}(x) w_{q}(y) \quad\left((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}:=\mathbb{R}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}_{0}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{p}(\cdot)$ is defined by (2). Denote by $C_{p, q}$ the weighted space of all real-valued functions $f$ continuous on $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$ for which $w_{p, q} f$ is uniformly continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$. The norm on $C_{p, q}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{p, q} \equiv\|f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{p, q}:=\sup _{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}} w_{p, q}(x, y)|f(x, y)| . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modulus of continuity of $f \in C_{p, q}$ we define as usual by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; t, s\right):=\sup _{0 \leq h \leq t, 0 \leq \delta \leq s}\left\|\Delta_{h, \delta} f(\cdot, \cdot)\right\|_{p, q} \quad(t, s \geq 0) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{h, \delta} f(x, y):=f(x+h, y+\delta)-f(x, y)$ and $(x+h, y+\delta) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$.
From (26) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t, s \rightarrow 0+} \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; t, s\right)=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in C_{p, q}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Moreover let $C_{p, q}^{m}$ denotes the set of all functions $f \in C_{p, q}$ which the partial derivatives $f_{x^{j}, y^{k-j}}^{(k)}, k=1, \ldots, m$, belong also to $C_{p, q}$.

We introduce the following
Definition 2. Fix $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. For functions $f \in C_{p, q}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we define operators
(28)

$$
A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y):=\frac{1}{g(m x ; r) g(n x ; s)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m x)^{j}}{(j+r)!} \frac{(n y)^{k}}{(k+s)!} f\left(\frac{j+r}{m}, \frac{k+s}{n}\right)
$$

for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $g(\cdot ; r)$ is defined by (7).
From (28) and (6)-(7) we deduce that $A_{m, n}(f ; r, s)$ are well defined in every space $C_{p, q}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Moreover for fixed $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m, n}(1 ; r, s ; x, y)=1 \quad \text { for } \quad(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2} \quad(m, n \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $f \in C_{p, q}$ and $f(x, y)=f_{1}(x) f_{2}(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)=A_{m}\left(f_{1} ; r ; x\right) A_{n}\left(f_{2} ; s ; y\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
2.2. Main results. Applying Lemma 4 we shall prove the main lemma on $A_{m, n}$ defined by (28).
Lemma 6. For fixed $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive constant $M_{8} \equiv$ $M_{8}(p, q, r, s)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{m, n}\left(1 / w_{p, q}(t, z) ; r, s ; \cdot, \cdot\right)\right\|_{p, q} \leq M_{8} \quad \text { for } \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover for every $f \in C_{p, q}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; \cdot, \cdot)\right\|_{p, q} \leq M_{8}\|f\|_{p, q} \quad \text { for } \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, r, s \in \mathbb{N} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (28) and inequality (32) show that $A_{m, n}, m, n \in N$, are linear positive operators from the space $C_{p, q}$ into $C_{p, q}$.
Proof. The inequality (31) follows immediately from (24), (30) and (10).
From (28) and (25) we get for $f \in C_{p, q}$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\left\|A_{m, n}(f ; r, s)\right\|_{p, q} \leq\|f\|_{p, q}\left\|A_{m, n}\left(1 / w_{p, q} ; r, s\right)\right\|_{p, q} \quad(m, n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

which by (31) implies (32).
Now we shall give two theorems on the degree of approximation of functions by $A_{m, n}$ defined by (28).
Theorem 4. Suppose that $f \in C_{p, q}^{1}$ with fixed $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then for fixed $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a positive constant $M_{9}=M_{9}(p, q, r, s)$ such that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p, q}(x, y)\left|A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)-f(x, y)\right| \\
& \leq M_{9}\left(\left\|f_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}+\left\|f_{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{y+1}{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Fix $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$. Then for $f \in C_{p, q}^{1}$

$$
f(t, z)-f(x, y)=\int_{x}^{t} f_{u}^{\prime}(u, z) \mathrm{d} u+\int_{y}^{z} f_{v}^{\prime}(x, v) \mathrm{d} v \quad\left((t, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

Thus by (29)

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{m, n}(f(t, z) ; r, s ; x, y)- & f(x, y)=A_{m, n}\left(\int_{x}^{t} f_{u}^{\prime}(u, z) \mathrm{d} u ; r, s ; x, y\right)  \tag{34}\\
& +A_{m, n}\left(\int_{y}^{z} f_{v}^{\prime}(x, v) \mathrm{d} v ; r, s ; x, y\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2), (24)-(25) we have

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t} f_{u}^{\prime}(u, z) \mathrm{d} u\right| \leq\left\|f_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q}\left|\int_{x}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{w_{p, q}(u, z)}\right| \leq\left\|f_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p, q}(t, z)}+\frac{1}{w_{p, q}(x, z)}\right)|t-x|,
$$

which by (2), (24), (28)-(30) and (6), (8) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p, q}(x, y)\left|A_{m, n}\left(\int_{x}^{t} f_{u}^{\prime}(u, z) \mathrm{d} u ; r, s ; x, y\right)\right| \\
& \leq w_{p, q}(x, y) A_{m, n}\left(\left|\int_{x}^{t} f_{u}^{\prime}(u, z) \mathrm{d} u\right| ; r, s ; x, y\right) \\
& \leq\left\|f_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} w_{p, q}(x, y)\left(A_{m, n}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{w_{p, q}(t, z)} ; r, s ; x, y\right)\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+A_{m, n}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{w_{p, q}(x, z)} ; r, s ; x, y\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left\|f_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} w_{q}(y) A_{n}\left(\frac{1}{w_{q}(z)} ; s ; y\right)\left(w_{p}(x) A_{m}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right)\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+A_{m}(|t-x| ; r ; x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the HöLDER inequality, (8), (10), (13) and Lemma 2, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{m}(|t-x| ; r ; x) \leq\left(A_{m}\left((t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right) A_{m}(1 ; r ; x)\right)^{1 / 2} \leq M_{10}(p, r) \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}, \\
w_{p}(x) A_{m}\left(\frac{|t-x|}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right) \leq\left(w_{p}(x) A_{m}\left(\frac{(t-x)^{2}}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \times \\
\times\left(w_{p}(x) A_{m}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}(t)} ; r ; x\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \leq M_{11}(p, r) \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p, q}(x, y) \mid A_{m, n} & \left(\int_{x}^{t} f_{u}^{\prime}(u, z) \mathrm{d} u ; r, s ; x, y\right) \mid \\
& \leq M_{12}(p, q, r, s)\left\|f_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}} \quad(m \in \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

Analogously we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p, q}(x, y)\left|A_{m, n}\left(\int_{y}^{z} f_{v}^{\prime}(x, v) \mathrm{d} v ; r, s ; x, y\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq M_{13}(p, q, r, s)\left\|f_{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{y+1}{n}} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these, we derive from (34)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p, q}(x, y)\left|A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)-f(x, y)\right| \\
& \quad \leq M_{9}\left(\left\|f_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}+\left\|f_{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{y+1}{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M_{9}=M_{9}(p, q, r, s)=$ const $>0$. Thus the proof of (33) is completed.
Theorem 5. Suppose that $f \in C_{p, q}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then there exists a positive constant $M_{14} \equiv M_{14}(p, q, r, s)$ such that for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p, q}(x, y)\left|A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)-f(x, y)\right| \\
& \quad \leq M_{14} \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}, \sqrt{\frac{y+1}{n}}\right) \quad(m, n \in \mathbb{N}, r, s \in \mathbb{N})
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We apply the Steklov function $f_{h, \delta}$ for $f \in C_{p, q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{h, \delta}(x, y):=\frac{1}{h \delta} \int_{0}^{h} \mathrm{~d} u \int_{0}^{\delta} f(x+u, y+v) \mathrm{d} v \quad\left((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}, h, \delta>0\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (36) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{h, \delta}(x, y)-f(x, y) & =\frac{1}{h \delta} \int_{0}^{h} \mathrm{~d} u \int_{0}^{\delta} \Delta_{u, v} f(x, y) \mathrm{d} v \\
\left(f_{h, \delta}\right)_{x}^{\prime}(x, y) & =\frac{1}{h \delta} \int_{0}^{\delta}\left(\Delta_{h, v} f(x, y)-\Delta_{0, v} f(x, y)\right) \mathrm{d} v \\
\left(f_{h, \delta}\right)_{y}^{\prime}(x, y) & =\frac{1}{h \delta} \int_{0}^{h}\left(\Delta_{u, \delta} f(x, y)-\Delta_{u, 0} f(x, y)\right) \mathrm{d} u
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{h, \delta}-f\right\|_{p, q} \leq \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; h, \delta\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f_{h, \delta}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \leq 2 h^{-1} \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; h, \delta\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f_{h, \delta}\right)_{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \leq 2 \delta^{-1} \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; h, \delta\right), \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h, \delta>0$, which show that $f_{h, \delta} \in C_{p, q}^{1}$ if $f \in C_{p, q}$ and $, h, \delta>0$.
Now, for $A_{m, n}$ defined by (28), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p, q}(x, y)\left|A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)-f(x, y)\right| \\
& \quad \leq w_{p, q}(x, y)\left(\left|A_{m, n}\left(f(t, z)-f_{h, \delta}(t, z) ; r, s ; x, y\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad \quad+\left|A_{m, n}\left(f_{h, \delta}(t, z) ; r, s ; x, y\right)-f_{h, \delta}(x, y)\right|+\left|f_{h, \delta}(x, y)-f(x, y)\right|\right) \\
& \quad:=T_{1}(x)+T_{2}(x)+T_{3}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (25), (32) and (37) obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}(x) \leq\left\|A_{m, n}\left(f-f_{h, \delta} ; r, s ; \cdot, \cdot\right)\right\|_{p, q} \leq M_{8}\left\|f-f_{h, \delta}\right\|_{p, q} \leq M_{8} \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; h, \delta\right), \\
& T_{3}(x) \leq \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; h, \delta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Theorem 4 and (38) and (39), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{2}(x) & \leq M_{9}\left(\left\|\left(f_{h, \delta}\right)_{x}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}+\left\|\left(f_{h, \delta}\right)_{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p, q} \sqrt{\frac{y+1}{n}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 M_{9} \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; h, \delta\right)\left(h^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}+\delta^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{y+1}{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently there exists $M_{15} \equiv M_{15}(p, q, r, s)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{p, q}(x, y)\left|A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)-f(x, y)\right| \\
& \leq M_{15} \omega\left(f, C_{p, q} ; h, \delta\right)\left(1+h^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{x+1}{m}}+\delta^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{y+1}{n}}\right) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $h=\sqrt{(x+1) / m}$ and $\delta=\sqrt{(y+1) / n}$ to (40), we obtain (35).
From Theorem 5 and the property (27) follows
Corollary 3. Let $f \in C_{p, q}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} A_{m, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)=f(x, y) \quad\left((x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover (41) holds uniformly on every rectangle $0 \leq x \leq x_{0}, 0 \leq y \leq y_{0}$.
In this part we give the Voronovskaya type theorem for operators $A_{n, n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Theorem 6. Suppose that $f \in C_{p, q}^{2}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Then for fixed $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}:\{(x, y): x>0, y>0\}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(A_{n, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)-f(x, y)\right) \frac{x}{2} f_{x x}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)+\frac{y}{2} f_{y y}^{\prime \prime}(x, y) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choosing $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$, we have by the TAYLOR formula for $f \in C_{p, q}^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t, z)=f(x, y)+f_{x}^{\prime}(x, y)(t-x)+f_{y}^{\prime}(x, y)(z-y) \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{x x}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)(t-x)^{2}+2 f_{x y}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)(t-x)(z-y)+f_{y y}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)(z-y)^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\psi(t, z ; x, y) \sqrt{(t-x)^{4}+(z-y)^{4}} \quad\left((t, z) \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi(t, z)=\psi(t, z ; x, y)$ is a function from $C_{p, q}$ and $\psi(x, y)=0$. From this and by (6), (8), (28)-(30) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n, n}(f(t, z) ; r, s ; x, y)=f(x, y)+f_{x}^{\prime}(x, y) A_{n}(t-x ; r ; x) \\
& \quad+f_{y}^{\prime}(x, y) A_{n}(z-y ; s ; y)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{x x}^{\prime \prime}(x, y) A_{n}\left((t-x)^{2} ; r ; x\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+f_{x y}^{\prime \prime}(x, y) A_{n}(t-x ; r ; x) A_{n}(z-y ; s ; y)+f_{y y}^{\prime \prime}(x, y) A_{n}\left((z-y)^{2} ; s ; y\right)\right) \\
& \quad+A_{n, n}\left(\psi(t, z) \sqrt{(t-x)^{4}+(z-y)^{4}} ; r, s ; x, y\right) \quad \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,using Lemma 2, we can write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(A_{n, n}(f ; r, s ; x, y)-f(x, y)\right)=\frac{x}{2} f_{x x}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)+\frac{y}{2} f_{y y}^{\prime \prime}(x, y)  \tag{43}\\
\quad+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n A_{n, n}\left(\psi(t, z) \sqrt{(t-x)^{4}+(z-y)^{4}} ; r, s ; x, y\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

By the Hölder inequality, (6), (8), (28)-(30) and Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|A_{n, n}\left(\psi(t, z) \sqrt{(t-x)^{4}+(z-y)^{4}} ; r, s ; x, y\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left(A_{n, n}\left(\psi^{2}(t, z) ; r, s ; x, y\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(A_{n}\left((t-x)^{4} ; r ; x\right)+A_{n}\left((z-y)^{4} ; s ; y\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

The properties of $\psi$ and Corollary 2 imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{n, n}\left(\psi^{2}(t, z) ; r, s ; x, y\right) \psi^{2}(x, y)=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (45) and Lemma 2, we obtain from (44)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n A_{n, n}\left(\psi(t, z) \sqrt{(t-x)^{4}+(z-y)^{4}} ; r, s ; x, y\right)=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (46) and (43) follows (42).
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