

ON A SUM INVOLVING THE PRIME COUNTING FUNCTION $\pi(x)$

Aleksandar Ivić

An asymptotic formula for the sum of reciprocals of $\pi(n)$ is derived, where $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes not exceeding x . This result improves the previous results of DE KONINCK-IVIĆ and L. PANAITOPOL.

Let, as usual, $\pi(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} 1$ denote the number of primes not exceeding x . The prime number theorem (see e.g., [2, Chapter 12]) in its strongest known form states that

$$(1) \quad \pi(x) = \operatorname{li} x + R(x),$$

with

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{li} x := \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} = x \left(\frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{1!}{\log^2 x} + \cdots + \frac{m!}{\log^{m+1} x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{m+2} x}\right) \right)$$

for any fixed integer $m \geq 0$, and

$$(3) \quad R(x) \ll x \exp(-C\delta(x)), \quad \delta(x) := (\log x)^{3/5}(\log \log x)^{-1/5} \quad (C > 0),$$

where henceforth C, C_1, \dots will denote absolute constants. In [1, Theorem 9.1] J.-M. DE KONINCK and the author proved that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + O(\log x).$$

Recently L. PANAITOPOL [1] improved (4) to

$$(5) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - \log \log x + O(1).$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M26, 11M06
Keywords and Phrases: Prime number theorem, recurrence relation, Riemann hypothesis

One obtains (5) from the asymptotic formula

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\operatorname{li} x} = \frac{1}{x} \left(\log x - 1 - \frac{k_1}{\log x} - \frac{k_2}{\log^2 x} - \cdots - \frac{k_m(1 + \alpha_m(x))}{\log^m x} \right),$$

where $\alpha_m(x) \ll_m 1/\log x$, and the constants k_1, \dots, k_m are defined by the recurrence relation

$$(7) \quad k_m + 1!k_{m-1} + \cdots + (m-1)!k_1 = m \cdot m! \quad (m \in \mathbf{N}),$$

so that $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 3$, $k_3 = 13$, etc. This was established in [3]. Using (6) we shall give a further improvement of (4), contained in the following

Theorem. *For any fixed integer $m \geq 2$ we have*

$$(8) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - \log \log x + C \\ + \frac{k_2}{\log x} + \frac{k_3}{2 \log^2 x} + \cdots + \frac{k_m}{(m-1) \log^{m-1} x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m x}\right),$$

where C is an absolute constant, and k_2, \dots, k_m are the constants defined by (7).

Proof. From (1) we have

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = 1 + \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\operatorname{li} n} - \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{R(n)}{\operatorname{li} n (\operatorname{li} n + R(n))} \\ = \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\operatorname{li} n} + \left(1 - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{R(n)}{\operatorname{li} n (\operatorname{li} n + R(n))} \right) + \sum_{n > x} \frac{R(n)}{\operatorname{li} n (\operatorname{li} n + R(n))} \\ = \sum_1 + C_1 + \sum_2,$$

say. By using the bound $\operatorname{li} x \ll x/\log x$ and (3) it is seen that

$$\sum_2 = \sum_{n > x} \frac{R(n)}{\operatorname{li} n (\operatorname{li} n + R(n))} \ll \sum_{n > x} \frac{1}{n} e^{-C\delta(n)/2} \\ \ll e^{-C\delta(x)/3} \int_{x-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} e^{-C\delta(t)/6} dt \ll e^{-C\delta(x)/3},$$

since $\delta(x)$ is increasing for $x \geq x_0$, and the substitution $\log t = u$ easily shows that the above integral is convergent. To evaluate \sum_1 we need the familiar EULER–MACLAURIN summation formula (see e.g., [2, eq. (A.23)]) in the form

$$(9) \quad \sum_{X < n \leq Y} f(n) = \int_X^Y f(t) dt - \psi(Y)f(Y) + \psi(X)f(X) + \int_X^Y \psi(t)f'(t) dt,$$

where $\psi(x) = x - [x] - 1/2$ and $f(x) \in C^1[X, Y]$. We obtain from (6), for $m \geq 2$ a fixed integer,

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\text{li } n} \\ &= \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \left(\log n - 1 - \frac{k_1}{\log n} - \frac{k_2}{\log^2 n} - \dots - \frac{k_m(1 + \alpha_m(n))}{\log^m n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we evaluate each sum in (10) by using (9). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{\log n}{n} &= \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x + c_1 + O\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right), \\ \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} &= \log x + c_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \\ \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{k_1}{n \log n} &= \log \log x + c_3 + O\left(\frac{1}{x \log x}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq r \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{k_r}{n \log^r n} &= k_r \int_3^x \frac{dt}{t \log^r t} + C_r + O\left(\frac{1}{x \log^r x}\right) \\ &= k_r \int_3^\infty \frac{dt}{t \log^r t} - k_r \int_x^\infty \frac{dt}{t \log^r t} + C_r + O\left(\frac{1}{x \log^r x}\right) \\ &= D_r - \frac{k_r}{(r-1) \log^{r-1} x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x \log^r x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$D_r = C_r + k_r \int_3^\infty \frac{dt}{t \log^r t}.$$

Finally in view of $\alpha_m(x) \ll 1/\log x$ it follows that, for $m \geq 2$ fixed,

$$\sum_{3 \leq n \leq x} \frac{k_m \alpha_m(n)}{n \log^m n} = \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{k_m \alpha_m(n)}{n \log^m n} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m x}\right).$$

Putting together the above expressions in (10) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - \log x - \log \log x + C \\ &\quad + \frac{k_2}{\log x} + \frac{k_3}{2 \log^2 x} + \dots + \frac{k_m}{(m-1) \log^{m-1} x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^m x}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and then (8) easily follows with

$$C = C_1 + c_1 - c_2 - c_3 - D_2 - \dots - D_m - \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{k_m \alpha_m(n)}{n \log^m n}.$$

The constant C in (8) does not depend on m , which can be easily seen by taking two different values of m and then comparing the results.

Note that we can evaluate directly \sum_1 by the EULER-MACLAURIN summation formula to obtain

$$(11) \quad \sum_1 = \int_3^x \frac{dt}{\text{li } t} + C_0 + O\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right).$$

Integration by parts gives, for $x > 3$,

$$\int_3^x \frac{dt}{\text{li } t} = \int_3^x \log t \, d(\log \text{li } t) = \log x \log(\text{li } x) - \int_3^x \frac{\log(\text{li } t)}{t} dt - \log 3 \log \text{li } 3,$$

which inserted into (11) gives another expression for our sum, namely

$$(12) \quad \sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\pi(n)} = \log x \log(\text{li } x) - \int_3^x \frac{\log(\text{li } t)}{t} dt + B + O(e^{-D\delta(x)}) \quad (D > 0),$$

from which we can again deduce (8) by using (2). The advantage of (12) is that it has a sharper error term than (8), but on the other hand the expressions on the right-hand side of (12) involve the non-elementary function $\text{li } x$. Note also that the RIEMANN hypothesis (that all complex zeros of the RIEMANN zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ have real parts equal to $\frac{1}{2}$) is equivalent to the statement (see [2]) that, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, $R(x) \ll x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ in (3), which would correspondingly improve the error term in (12) to $O(x^{-1/2+\varepsilon})$.

REFERENCES

1. J.-M. DE KONINCK, A. IVIĆ: *Topics in Arithmetical Functions*. Notas de Matemática, **43**, North-Holland, Amsterdam etc., 1980.
2. A. IVIĆ: *The Riemann zeta-function*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1985.
3. L. PANAITOPOL: *A formula for $\pi(x)$ applied to a result of Koninck-Ivić*. Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, **5/1** (2000), 55–56.

Katedra Matematike RGF-a,
Univerzitet u Beogradu,
Đušina 7, 11000 Beograd,
Serbia (Yugoslavia).
E-mail: eivica@ubbg.etf.bg.ac.yu, aivic@rgf.bg.ac.yu

(Received January 22, 2002)