# ON SHARP BOUNDS OF THE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF GRAPHS 
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The spectral radius of a graph is the spectral radius of its adjacency matrix. In this paper, some sharp bounds of the spectral radius of graphs that depend only on vertex degrees are obtained.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $D$ be a digraph without loops and with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Its adjacency matrix $A(D)$ is defined to be the $n \times n$ matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$, where $a_{i j}=1$ if there is an arc from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$, and $a_{i j}=0$ otherwise. Let $r_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)$ be the out-degree (resp. in-degree) of $v_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Clearly, $r_{i}$ is $i$-th row sum of $A(D)$, while $s_{i}$ is the $i$-th column sum of $A(D)$. A digraph is said to be " $k$-balanced" if $\left|r_{i}-s_{i}\right| \leq k$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. A 0 -balanced digraph with $r_{i}=s_{i}=r$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ is called strong balanced digraph. It follows immediately that if $D$ is a simple graph (undirected graph without loop and multiline), then $A(D)$ is a symmetric $(0,1)$ matrix with zero trace. We shall denote the characteristic polynomail of $D$ by

$$
p(D)=\operatorname{det}(x I-A(D))=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{n-i}
$$

Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be the roots of $p(D) . \rho(D)=\max \left(\left|\lambda_{1}\right|,\left|\lambda_{2}\right|, \ldots,\left|\lambda_{n}\right|\right)$ is the spectral radius of $D$. Since $A(D)$ is a symmetric matrix, $\rho(D)$ is an eigenvalue of $\operatorname{det}(X I-A(D))$, say $\lambda_{1}$. Since $A(D)$ is a symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues are real, and may be ordered as

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n}
$$

Hence we shall denote the spectral radius of $D$ by $\lambda_{1}$.
The following result on bounds of spectral radius have been known (see[1]). Theorem A (Hong). Let $D$ be a 0 -balanced strongly connected digraph with $n$ vertices and $m$ arcs. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{m-n+1}
$$

with equality iff $D$ is the star $K_{1, n-1}$ or the complete graph $K_{n}$.

[^0]Theorem B (Hong). Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices and e edges. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{2 e-n+1}
$$

with equality iff $G$ is the star $K_{1, n-1}$ or the complete graph $K_{n}$.
In this paper we generalized the above result as follows.
Theorem 1. Let $D$ be a $k$-balanced digraph with $n$ vertices and $m$ arcs, $r=\min _{1<i<n} r_{i}$, $S=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} s_{i}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{m-r(n-1)+(r-1) S+k}
$$

with equality if and only if $D$ is the star $K_{1, n-1}$ or a strongly balanced digraph.
Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a simple graph with $n$ vertices and e edges, and $r=$ $\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} r_{i}, R=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} r_{i}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{2 e-r(n-1)+(r-1) R}
$$

with equality if and if $G$ is the star $K_{1, n-1}$ or the complete graph $K_{n}$.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

The proof of Theorem 1.
Let $A_{i}$ denote the $i$-th row of $A(D)$. Since $D$ is " $k$-balanced" we have

$$
\left|r_{i}-s_{i}\right| \leq k .
$$

Let $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ be a unit positive eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, let $X(i)$ denote the vector obtained from $X$ by replacing with 0 those components $x_{j}$ for which $a_{i j}=0$.

Since $A X=\lambda_{1} X$, we have

$$
A_{i} X(i)=A_{i} X=\lambda_{1} x_{i}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we have

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2}=\left|A_{i} X(i)\right|^{2} \leq\left|A_{i}\right|^{2}|X(i)|^{2}=r_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j: a_{i j}=0} x_{j}^{2}\right) .
$$

Summing the above inequalities, we have

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j: a_{i j}=0} x_{j}^{2}\right)=m-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \sum_{j: a_{i j}=0} x_{j}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \sum_{j: a_{i j}=0} x_{j}^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} x_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \sum_{j: a_{i j}=0} x_{j}^{2} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} x_{i}^{2}+r \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j: a_{i j}=0, j \neq i} x_{j}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} x_{i}^{2}+r \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(n-1-s_{i}\right) x_{i}^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right) x_{i}^{2}-(r-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} x_{i}^{2}+r(n-1) \\
& \geq-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|r_{i}-s_{i}\right| x_{i}^{2}-(r-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} S x_{i}{ }^{2}+r(n-1) \\
& \geq-k-(r-1) S+r(n-1)
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have $\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{m-(n-1)+(r-1) S+k}$.
In order equality to hold, all inequalities in the above argument must be equalities. In particular, from (1) we must have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \sum_{j: a_{i j}=0} x_{j}^{2}=r \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j: a_{i j}=0, j \neq i} x_{j}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right) x_{i}^{2}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} k x_{i}^{2}, \quad(r-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} x_{i}^{2}=(r-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} S x_{i}^{2} .
$$

Hence, for each $i$ we have
(i) $r_{i}=r$ or $r_{i}=n-1 ; \quad$ (ii) $r_{i}-s_{i}=-\left|r_{i}-s_{i}\right|=-k$;
(iii) if $r \neq 1$, then $s_{i}=S$.

Note that $\sum r_{i}=\sum s_{i}$, we have either $k=0, r_{i}=s_{i}=r=S$ or $n-1$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ or $k=0, r_{i}=s_{i}=1$ or $n-1$. That implies either $D$ is a 0 -balanced digraph with $r_{i}=s_{i}=r$ or $n-1, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Conversely, it is easy to verify that the equality $\lambda_{1}=r$ holds in the strongly balanced digraph with $r_{i}=s_{i}=r$ and in $K_{1, n-1}$.
Example. A directed cycle of order $n$ is a strongly balanced digraph, $m=n, r_{i}=$ $s_{i}=1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n, k=0$ and

$$
\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{n-(n-1)}=1
$$

Let $T_{5}$ be a strongly balanced tournarment with 5 vertices. Then $n=5, m=10$, $r_{i}=s_{i}=2, k=0$, and

$$
\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{10-2(5-1)+(2-1) \cdot 2+0}=2
$$

but by Brualdi and Hoffman's bound (see[2]) $\lambda_{1} \leq 3$, since $m=3^{2}+1$.

Example. For the digraph $D$ with adjacency matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have $n=4, m=6, r=1, S=2, k=1$ and thus

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{6-1 \cdot(4-1)+1}=\sqrt{4}=2
$$

By definition $p(D)=f(\lambda)=\lambda^{4}-4 \lambda-1$. Since $f(1.3)<0$ and $f(\lambda)>0$ for $\lambda \geq 1.4$, we have

$$
1.3<\lambda_{1}<1.4
$$

Corollary 1.1. Let $D$ be a 0 -balanced strongly connected digraph with $n$ vertices and $m$ arcs. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{m-r(n-1)+(r-1) S} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. If $D$ is a 0 -balanced strongly connected digraph without vertices of outdegree 0 , then $r \geq 1$ and

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{m-r(n-1-S)-S} \leq \sqrt{m-n+1+S-S}=\sqrt{m-n+1}
$$

This is Theorem A.
Corollary 1.2. Let $G$ be a simple connected graph with $n$ vertices and edges. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{2 e-r(n-1)+(r-1) S} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is the star $K_{1, n-1}$ or a regular graph.
Proof. $G$ is a 0 -balanced digraph. Thus $m=2 e$. Now (3) follows from (2).
The following example shows that the bound (3) improves that in Theorem B.
Example. Let

$$
A(D)=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $n=6, e=10, r=3, S=4$. By (3) we have

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{2 \times 10-3 \times 5+2 \times 4}=\sqrt{13} .
$$

But by Theorem B

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{2 \times 10-6+1}=\sqrt{15}
$$

Remark. If $G$ is a simple connected graph without isolated vertices, then $r \geq 1$. By (3) we have

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{2 e-n+1}
$$

This is Theorem B.
Corollary 1.3. Let $G$ be a simple planar connected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \leq \sqrt{2(3 n-6)-r(n-1)+(r-1) S} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that $m \leq 3 n-6$ for a planar graph, and so we have (4).
Corollary 1.4. Let $G$ be a simple connected graph with $n$ vertices and e edges. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2}(G)=2 e-\lambda_{1}^{2} \geq r(n-1)-(r-1) S=r(n-1-S)+S \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if
(a) $G$ is a regular graph;
(b) $G$ is the star $K_{1, n-1}$.
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