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# A GENERALIZATION OF THE KY FAN INEQUALITY 

Zhen Wang, Ji Chen, Guang-Xing Li

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Dragoslav S. Mitrinović

A certain extension of the Ky Fan inequality is proved by means of elementary calculus.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The following inequality due to Ky Fan was recorded in [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)}\right)^{1 / n}<\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)} \quad\left(0 \leq x_{i} \leq 1 / 2\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

unless $x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots=x_{m}$.
With the notation

$$
M_{p}(x)=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\left(x_{i}>0\right) \text { and } M_{0}(x)=\lim _{p \rightarrow 0} M_{p}(x)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

(1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{0}(x)}{M_{0}(1-x)}<\frac{M_{1}(x)}{M_{1}(1-x)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

D. Segaiman [2] conjectured that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{p}(x)}{M_{p}(1-x)} \leq \frac{M_{q}(x)}{M_{q}(1-x)} \quad(p \leq q) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

F. Chan, D. Goldberg and S. Gonek [2] gave some counter examples when $0<2^{p} / p<2^{q} / q$ or $p+q>9$. In addition, they proved that (3) is true for $p+q=0>p$ or $0 \leq p \leq 1 \leq q \leq 2$.

Recently the case $p=-1$ and $q=0$ was proved to be true by Wan-Lan Wang and Peng-Fei Wang [3]. And the case $-1 \leq p \leq 0 \leq 1$ was proved

[^0]by Guang-Xing Li and Ji Chen [4]. Zhen Wang and Ji Chen [5] proved that the function $R(p)=M_{p}(x) / M_{p}(1-x)$ is strictly increasing on $[-1,1]$, unless $x_{1}=\ldots=x_{n}$, and if (3) holds for $(p, q)$, then also for $(-q,-p)$.

In this paper, we determine all exponents $p$ and $q$ such that (3) is true.
Theorem. For arbitrary $n, p<q$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{q}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \quad\left(0<x_{i} \leq 1 / 2\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if and only if $|p+q| \leq 3,2^{p} / p \geq 2^{q} / q$ when $p>0, p 2^{p} \leq q 2^{q}$ when $q<0$.
The proof of the sufficiency is contained in Sections 3, 4 and 5. In the proof we assume $p q \neq 0$, otherwise by letting $p$ or $q \rightarrow 0$, it is easy to see that (4) is also true. In Section 2, we will prove the necessity.

## 2. PROOF OF THE NECESSITY

In [2], it is proved that (4) and $p<q$ are equivalent when $n=2$, and that if (4) holds, then $2^{p} / p \geq 2^{q} / q$ for $p>0$.

When $q<0$, take $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n-1}=\varepsilon(0<\varepsilon<1 / 2)$ and $x_{n}=1 / 2$. Then (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{(n-1) \varepsilon^{p}+(1 / 2)^{p}}{(n-1)(1-\varepsilon)^{p}+(1 / 2)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(\frac{(n-1) \varepsilon^{q}+(1 / 2)^{q}}{(n-1)(1-\varepsilon)^{q}+(1 / 2)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(\varepsilon^{p}+\frac{1}{2^{p}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / p}}{\left(\varepsilon^{q}+\frac{1}{2^{q}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / q}} \leq \frac{\left((1-\varepsilon)^{p}+\frac{1}{2^{p}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / p}}{\left((1-\varepsilon)^{q}+\frac{1}{2^{q}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / q}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0,(6)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{p}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / p}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{q}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / q}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{p}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / p} \geq\left(1+\frac{1}{2^{q}(n-1)}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the Maclaurin expansion in $1 / n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\left(p 2^{p} n\right)^{-1}+o\left(1 / n^{2}\right) \geq 1+\left(q 2^{q} n\right)^{-1}+o\left(1 / n^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if $p 2^{p}>q 2^{q}$, (4) would be false for sufficiently large $n$.
In the equivalent inequality of (4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-u_{i}\right)^{p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+u_{i}\right)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-u_{i}\right)^{q}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+u_{i}\right)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \quad\left(0 \leq u_{i}<1\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{n-1}=0$ and $u_{n}=u(0<u<1)$, then (10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{(n-1)+(1-u)^{p}}{(n-1)+(1+u)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p} \leq\left(\frac{(n-1)+(1-u)^{q}}{(n-1)+(1+u)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take the Maclaurin expansion of (11) in $u$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1-\frac{2}{n} u+\frac{2}{n^{2}} u^{2}-\frac{(n-1)\left((n-2) p^{2}-3 n p\right)+2\left(n^{2}+2\right)}{3 n^{3}} u^{3}+o\left(u^{4}\right)  \tag{12}\\
\leq & 1-\frac{2}{n} u+\frac{2}{n^{2}} u^{2}-\frac{(n-1)\left((n-2) q^{2}-3 n q\right)+2\left(n^{2}+2\right)}{3 n^{3}} u^{3}+o\left(u^{4}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for $u$ sufficiently small, (10) holds only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2) p^{2}-3 n p \geq(n-2) q^{2}-3 n q, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-q)((n-2)(p+q)-3 n) \geq 0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+q \leq \frac{3 n}{n-2} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$, (15) yields $p+q \leq 3$.
Similarly, the expansion of (10) with $u_{1}=u_{2}=\cdots=u_{n-1}=u(0<u<1)$, $u_{n}=0$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+q \geq \frac{-3 n}{n-2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we obtain $p+q \geq-3$.

## 3. AN EQUIVALENCE PROPOSITION

In this section, we are to establish an equivalence proposition as follows:
Proposition. For $p<q$, the following inequalities are equivalent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}{ }^{p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}<\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{q}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q}, \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}>0,0<x_{i} \leq 1 / 2, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are not all equal;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda x^{p}+\mu y^{p}}{\lambda(1-x)^{p}+\mu(1-y)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}<\left(\frac{\lambda x^{q}+\mu y^{q}}{\lambda(1-x)^{q}+\mu(1-y)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu>0,0<x \neq y \leq 1 / 2$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{p}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}<\left(\frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{q}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0,0<u<1$.
Proof. (i) obviously implies (iii).
Now suppose (iii) is true, let $x>y$ and $y / x=1-u, x /(1-x)=k$, then $0<u<1,0<k \leq 1$ and $(1-y) /(1-x)=1+k u$. So (ii) is equivalent to the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k)=\frac{1}{q} \ln \frac{\lambda+\mu(1-u)^{q}}{\lambda+\mu(1+k u)^{q}}-\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{\lambda+\mu(1-u)^{p}}{\lambda+\mu(1+k u)^{p}}>0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $f(k)$, one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(k) & =\frac{-\mu(1+k u)^{q-1} u}{\lambda+\mu(1+k u)^{q}}+\frac{\mu(1+k u)^{p-1} u}{\lambda+\mu(1+k u)^{p}} \\
& =\frac{u}{1+k u}\left(\frac{\mu(1+k u)^{p}}{\lambda+\mu(1+k u)^{p}}-\frac{\mu(1+k u)^{q}}{\lambda+\mu(1+k u)^{q}}\right)<0 . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k) \geq f(1)=\frac{1}{q} \ln \frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{q}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{q}}-\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{p}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{p}}>0 . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) is established.

We will use induction to show that (i) is true if (ii) holds. At first, (ii) is the case $n=2$ of (i). Now assume that (i) holds for some $n(n \geq 2)$.

Let $1 / 2 \geq x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n+1}$, and $x_{i}$ are not all equal, then there exists $\mu>0$ and $\nu=\bar{\lambda}_{1} \lambda_{n+1} / \mu>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{p}} & =\frac{\mu x_{1}^{p}+\lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{p}}{\mu\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{p}+\lambda_{n+1}\left(1-x_{n+1}\right)^{p}}  \tag{20}\\
& =\frac{\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{p}+\nu x_{n+1}^{p}}{\lambda_{1}\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{p}+\nu\left(1-x_{n+1}\right)^{p}}=R^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right)\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\nu\right) \leq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume
that $\lambda_{1} \geq \mu$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{p}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right) x_{1}^{p}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{p}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right)\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{p}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{p}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumption, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
R=\left(\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right) x_{1}^{p}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{p}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right)\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{p}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{22}\\
\leq\left(\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right) x_{1}^{q}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{q}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right)\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{q}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q},
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\left(\frac{\mu x_{1}^{p}+\lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{p}}{\mu\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{p}+\lambda_{n+1}\left(1-x_{n+1}\right) p}\right)^{1 / p}  \tag{23}\\
& \quad<\left(\frac{\mu x_{1}^{q}+\lambda_{n+1} x_{n+1}^{q}}{\mu\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{q}+\lambda_{n+1}\left(1-x_{n+1}\right) q}\right)^{1 / q}
\end{align*}
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R<\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{q}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_{i}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we get that (i) is true for arbitrary $n$ and the proposition is established.

## 4. THREE LEMMAS

Lemma 1. If $\alpha \leq 0, \alpha<\beta \leq 1-\alpha, 0 \leq u<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+u)^{\alpha}+(1-u)^{\alpha} \geq(1+u)^{\beta}+(1-u)^{\beta} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality is attained if and only if $u=0$ or $(\alpha, \beta)=(0,1)$.
Proof. Let $\varphi(x)=(1+u)^{x}+(1-u)^{x} \quad(0<u<1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x)=(1+u)^{x}(\ln (1+u))^{2}(1-u)^{x}(\ln (1-u))^{2}>0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have to establish (25) only for $\beta=1-\alpha$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=(1+u)^{\alpha}+(1-u)^{\alpha}-\left((1+u)^{1-\alpha}+(1-u)^{1-\alpha}\right)>0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha<0,0<u<1$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u) & =2 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(\binom{\alpha}{2 n}-\binom{1-\alpha}{2 n}\right) u^{2 n}  \tag{28}\\
& =2 \alpha(\alpha-1) \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{u^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}\left(\prod_{k=2}^{2 n-1}(\alpha-k)-\prod_{k=2}^{2 n-1}(-\alpha-k+1)\right) \\
& \geq 2 \alpha(\alpha-1) \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \frac{u^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}\left(\prod_{k=2}^{2 n-1}(\alpha-k)-\prod_{k=2}^{2 n-1}|-\alpha-k+1|\right) \\
& >0
\end{align*}
$$

This proves the lemma.
Lemma 2. If $0<\alpha<\beta<1-\alpha$ and $0<u \leq 1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(u)=(1+u)^{\alpha}+(1-u)^{\alpha}-(1+u)^{\beta}-(1-u)^{\beta}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists an unique $u_{0}$, such that
(i) $G(u)>0$ for $0<u<u_{0}$;
(ii) $\quad G(u)<0$ for $u_{0}<u \leq 1$.

Proof. We have $\beta(\beta-1)<\alpha(\alpha-1)<0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1}{\beta(\beta-1)}<1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)=\frac{(1+u)^{\beta-2}+(1-u)^{\beta-2}}{(1+u)^{\alpha-2}+(1-u)^{\alpha-2}} \quad(0<u<1) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{\prime}(u)=\frac{(\beta-2)\left((1+u)^{\beta-3}-(1-u)^{\beta-3}\right)}{(1+u)^{\alpha-2}+(1-u)^{\alpha-2}}  \tag{32}\\
&-\frac{(\alpha-2)\left((1+u)^{\alpha-3}-(1-u)^{\alpha-3}\right)\left((1+u)^{\beta-2}+(1-u)^{\beta-2}\right)}{\left((1+u)^{\alpha-2}+(1-u)^{\alpha-2}\right)^{2}} \\
&<\frac{\alpha-2}{\left((1+u)^{\alpha-2}+(1-u)^{\alpha-2}\right)^{2}}\left(\left((1+u)^{\beta-3}-(1-u)^{\beta-3}\right) \times\right. \\
&\left.-\left((1+u)^{\alpha-3}-(1-u)^{\alpha-3}\right)\left((1+u)^{\beta-2}+(1-u)^{\beta-2}\right)\right) \\
&=\frac{2(\alpha-2)(1+u)^{\alpha+\beta-6}}{\left((1+u)^{\alpha-2}+(1-u)^{\alpha-2}\right)^{2}}\left(\left(\frac{1-u}{1+u}\right)^{\alpha-3}-\left(\frac{1-u}{1+u}\right)^{\beta-3}\right)<0
\end{align*}
$$

So $g(u)$ is strictly decreasing with $g(0)=1$ and $g(1)=0$. Hence there exists a unique $u_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(u_{1}\right)=\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\beta(\beta-1)} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{\prime}(u)=\alpha\left((1+u)^{\alpha-1}-(1-u)^{\alpha-1}\right)-\beta\left((1+u)^{\beta-1}-(1-u)^{\beta-1}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& G^{\prime \prime}(u)=-\beta(\beta-1)\left((1+u)^{\alpha-2}+(1-u)^{\alpha-2}\right)\left(g(u)-\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{\beta(\beta-1)}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and from above we know that $G^{\prime \prime}(u)>0$ for $u \in\left(0, u_{1}\right), G^{\prime \prime}(u)<0$ for $u \in\left(u_{1}, 1\right)$.
Because $G(0)=0$ and $G(1)=2^{\alpha}-2^{\beta}<0$, we can find a unique $u_{0} \in\left(u_{1}, 1\right)$ such that $G(u)>0$ in $\left(0, u_{0}\right), G(u)<0$ in $\left(u_{0}, 1\right)$.
Lemma 3. If $p<q, p+q \leq 3$ and $2^{p} / p \geq 2^{q} / q$ for $p>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1+u)^{p}-(1-u)^{p}}{p} \geq \frac{(1+u)^{q}-(1-u)^{q}}{q} \quad(0 \leq u<1) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

equality occurs if and only if $u=0$ or $(p, q)=(1,2)$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u)=\frac{(1+u)^{p}-(1-u)^{p}}{p}-\frac{(1+u)^{q}-(1-u)^{q}}{q} \quad(0 \leq u<1) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}(u)=\left((1+u)^{p-1}+(1-u)^{p-1}\right)-\left((1+u)^{q-1}+(1-u)^{q-1}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p \leq 1, p-1<q-1 \leq 1-(p-1)$, by Lemma 1 we obtain $H^{\prime}(u) \geq 0$. Thus $H(u) \geq H(0)=0$ with equality if and only if $u=0$ or $(p, q)=(1,2)$.

When $p>1$, since the function $h(r)=2^{r} / r$ strictly increases in $(0, \ln 2]$ and strictly decreases $[1 / \ln 2,+\infty)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(1)=h(2), \quad h(p) \geq h(q) \quad(p>1) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $p+q<1+2=3$, i.e. $0<p-1<q-1<1-(p-1)$. By Lemma 2, $H^{\prime}(u)$ has it's unique zero point $u_{0}$ in $(0,1)$, such that the following is true:
$H^{\prime}(u)>0$ for $0<u<u_{0}$, hence $H(u)>H(0)=0$;
$H^{\prime}(u)<0$ for $u_{0}<u<1$, hence $H(u)>H(1)=2^{p} / p-2^{q} / q \geq 0 ;$
and $H\left(u_{0}\right)>0$.
These establish the lemma.

## 5. PROOF OF THE SUFFICIENCY

From the equivalence proposition in Section 3, we only need to prove the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{p}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}<\left(\frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{q}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0,0<u<1, p<q,|p+q| \leq 3,2^{p} / p \geq 2^{q} / q$ when $p>0, p 2^{p} \leq q 2^{q}$ when $q<0$.

The above inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)=\frac{1}{q} \ln \frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{q}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{q}}-\frac{1}{p} \ln \frac{\lambda+(1-u)^{p}}{\lambda+(1+u)^{p}}>0 . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime}(\lambda) & =\frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda+(1-u)^{q}}-\frac{1}{\lambda+(1+u)^{q}}\right)-\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda+(1-u)^{p}}-\frac{1}{\lambda+(1+u)^{p}}\right)  \tag{42}\\
& =\left(A \lambda^{2}+B \lambda+C\right) / Q(\lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left((1+u)^{p}+(1-u)^{p}\right) \frac{(1+u)^{q}-(1-u)^{q}}{q} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\lambda)=\left(\lambda+(1-u)^{q}\right)\left(\lambda+(1+u)^{q}\right)\left(\lambda+(1-u)^{p}\right)\left(\lambda+(1+u)^{p}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{(1+u)^{q}-(1-u)^{q}}{q}-\frac{(1+u)^{p}-(1-u)^{p}}{p} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
-\left((1+u)^{q}+(1-u)^{q}\right) \frac{(1+u)^{p}-(1-u)^{p}}{p}
$$

By Lemma 3, when $(p, q) \neq(1,2)$ and $(p, q) \neq(-2,-1)$, we have $A<0$ and $C>0$. If $(p, q)=(1,2)$, then $A=0, B=-4 u^{3}<0, C=2 u^{3}\left(1-u^{2}\right)>0$. If $(p, q)=(-2,-1)$, then $A=-2 u^{3} /\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{2}<0, B=4 u^{3} /\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{3}>0, C=0$. Thus for all these cases, $F^{\prime}(\lambda)$ has an unique positive root $\lambda_{0}$ such that $F^{\prime}(\lambda)>0$ for $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0} ; F^{\prime}\left(\lambda<0\right.$ for $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)>F(0)=F(+\infty)=0 \text { for } \lambda>0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the theorem is proved.

## 6. A CONJECTURE

Inequality (4) holds for all natural numbers $n$, and it is not the best result for each fixed $n$. We propose a conjecture for this condition as follows:
Conjecture. If $p<q,|p+q| \leq 3 n /(n-2), n \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+2^{p} /(n-1)\right)^{1 / p} \geq\left(1+2^{q} /(n-1)\right)^{1 / q} \text { when } p>0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+1 /\left(2^{p}(n-1)\right)\right)^{1 / p} \geq\left(1+1 /\left(2^{q}(n-1)\right)\right)^{1 / q} \text { when } q<0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{p}}\right)^{1 / p}<\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{q}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{q}}\right)^{1 / q} \quad\left(0<x_{i} \leq 1 / 2\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

unless $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}$.

## REFERENCES

1. E. F. Beckenbach, R. Bellman: Inequalities. Springer-Verlag 1961, p.5.
2. F. Chan, D. Goldberg, S. Gonek: On extensions of an inequality among means. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 42 (1974), 202-207.
3. W.-L. Wang, P. -F. Wang: A class of inequalities for the symmetric functions (Chinese). Acta. Math. Sinica, 27 (1984), 485-497.
4. G.-X. Li, J. Chen: An extension of Ky Fan inequality (Chinese). Hunan Math. Comm., 1989, No. 4, 37-39.
5. Z. Wang, J. Chen: A generalization of Ky Fan inequality. J. Ningbo Univ., 3 (1990), No. 1, 23-26.

Institute of Mathematical Science,
(Received December 5, 1994)
Academia Sinica,
Wuhan. Hubei, 430071,
China

Department of Mathematics,
Ningbo University,
Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315211,
China

Department of Mathematics,
University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui, 230026,
China


[^0]:    ${ }^{0} 1991$ Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15

