UNIV BEOGRAD, RUBL, ELEKTROTEHN, FAK, Ser. Mat. Fiz. No 634 -- No 677 (1979), 33-35.

636. CUBIC SPACE CURVES ON CAYLEY'S CYLINDROID

O. Bottema

1. The cylindroid C of CAYLEY (also called PLÜCKER's conoid) is a much studied metrically special ruled cubic surface which is an important subject in BALL's theory of screws. On a suitably chosen Cartesian frame the equation of C is, for homogeneous coordinates,

(1.1)
$$(x^2 + y^2) z = 2 dxyw, \quad d > 0.$$

C is invariant for the reflection into OZ. In a horizontal plane z = mw we have two generators intersecting at a point of OZ. In the central plane z = 0 they are the X- and Y-axis. For -d < m < d the two generators are real and distinct. For $d = \pm m$ they coincide with the dorsal lines of C, the dorsal planes being $z = \pm dw$. All generators intersect the line *l* with the equations z = w = 0. The intersection of C and the plane W at infinity consists of *l* and the two conjugate imaginary lines s_1 and s_2 , given by $x^2 + y^2 = w = 0$, intersecting at the point at infinity of OZ.

On C there are ∞^1 straight lines and ∞^2 ellipses (the intersections of C and arbitrary planes through a generator) and ∞^3 plane cubics. We ask whether there exist on C real non-degenerate twisted cubics.

2. Such a cubic k is represented if x, y, z, w are given as four linearly independent cubic functions of a parameter t. Obviously of the intersections of k and W one, denoted by A, is on l and two, B_1 and B_2 , on s_1 and s_2 , respectively. The parameter t may be chosen such that A corresponds to t=0 and the B's to $t=\pm i$. Hence we can take $2 dw = t(1+t^2)$; z must have the factor t. We take $z=t^2$, a choice justified by the result. The condition that k is on C reads $(x^2+y^2)t^2 = xyt(1+t^2)$ which is satisfied by x=1, y=t and by x=t, y=1. By this trial and error method we have found two cubics on C:

(2.1)
$$k_1: x=1, y=t, z=t^2, 2 dw = t(1+t^2),$$

(2.2)
$$k_2: x = t, y = 1, z = t^2, 2 dw = t(1+t^2).$$

It is easy to verify that in both cases x, y, z, w are linearly independent, which implies that we deal with space curves.

3. k_1 and k_2 are special cubics on C, both with simple equations. The transformation t' = -t shows that k_1 is invariant for the reflection into the Y-axis. It passes (for t=0) through A=(1, 0, 0, 0), for $t=\pm i$ through $(1, \pm i, -1, 0)$ and for $t=\infty$ through the origin O. A horizontal plane V:z=mw intersects k_1 at A and at two more, finite, points E_1 and E_2 , determined by $mt^2 - 2dt + m = 0$, one on each of the two generators in V. For m=0 one coincides O. Bottema

with O; for m=d the generators coincide with the dorsal line x-y=z-dw=0and E_1 , E_2 coincide at $(1, 1, 1, d^{-1})$, for m=-d they coincide at $(-1, 1, -1, d^{-1})$ on the lower dorsal line x+y=z+dw=0. For k_2 we have analogous results; k_2 follows from k_1 by interchanging x and y.

4. It is well-known that ∞^2 quadrics pass through a twisted cubic. From (2. 1) it follows

$$(4.1) 1:t:t^2:t^3=x:y:z:(2\,dw-y).$$

This implies that through k_1 pass all quadrics of the linear system

$$(4.2) \qquad \qquad \alpha Q_1 + \beta Q_2 + \gamma Q_3 = 0,$$

with

(4.3)
$$Q_1 = xz - y^2, \ Q_2 = y (2 \, dw - y) - z^2, \ Q_3 = x (2 \, dw - y) - yz,$$

 $Q_i=0$ (i=1, 2, 3) being linearly independent quadrics. The intersection of C and a quadric Q of the set (4.2) is a curve of degree six. As k_1 is on Q and on C it belongs to the intersection and the remaining part is also of the third order. It cannot be a plan cubic because it lies on a quadric. Furthermore it is easy to verify that k_2 , given by (2.2), does not lie on any quadric (4.2). Hence there exist two systems, both of ∞^2 cubic space curves on the cylindroid.

5. It seems to ask for much algebra to determine explicitly the cubic curves in terms of α , β , γ . Therefore we restrict ourselves to a subset of (4.2), the cones of the set. Let T_0 (with $t = t_0$) be a fixed point and T a variable point on k_1 . We consider the cone through k_1 with vertex T_0 . A generator of the cone is represented by

(5.1)
$$x = 1 + u, y = t + ut_0, z = t^2 + ut_0^2, 2 dw = t(1 + t^2) + ut_0(1 + t_0^2),$$

with t fixed and u variable. This line has three intersections with C, two of them being T_0 and T, corresponding to $u = \infty$ and u = 0 respectively; let T' be the third intersection. If we substitute (5.1) into (1.1), the coefficient of u^3 and the constant term vanish and third root is

(5.2)
$$u = (t^2 + t_0 t - 1)/(-t_0 t - t_0^2 + 1).$$

If we substitute this in (5.1), we obtain after some algebra the locus of T' for variable t:

(5.3)
$$x = t + t_0$$
, $y = 1$, $z = (t + t_0)(-t_0 t + 1)$, $2 dw = (-t_0 t + 1)((t + t_0)^2 + 1)$,

which for any value of t_0 represents a twisted cubic on C; we have derived therefore explicitly a system of ∞^1 such curves.

A curve (5.3) could be considered as the projection of k_1 from its point T_0 as the centre, on C itself. It intersects the line $l(\text{for } t = t_0^{-1})$ at $A = (t_0^2 + 1, t_0, 0, 0)$; for the two other intersections with W we have $x^2 + y^2 = 0$ as it should be. The intersections of (5.3) and the dorsal plane z = dw are the point A and the point (corresponding to $t = 1 - t_0$) counted twice, and analogously for the lower dorsal plane.

The system of curves derived from k_2 are found in a similar way.

34

6. An alternative approach to our problem would be the following. A representation of the surface C by means of two parameters λ and μ is, for instance,

(6.1)
$$x = \lambda (\lambda^2 + \mu^2), y = \mu (\lambda^2 + \mu^2), z = 2 d \lambda \mu, w = (\lambda^2 + \mu^2).$$

A curve on C is defined if λ and μ are given functions of t. We obtain a cubic if λ and μ are linear functions. But if, for instance, $\lambda = pt + q$, $\mu = rt + s$, the relation

$$(6.2) rx - py + (ps - qr)w = 0$$

holds, which implies that we deal with a planar cubic. Our curve k_1 may be found from (6.1) but in a more complicated way. Indeed we obtain (2.1) if

$$\lambda = t^{-1} (1 + t^2)^{-1}, \ \mu = (1 + t^2)^{-1}.$$

Charlotte de Bourbonstraat 2 2628 BN Delft, The Netherdands

3*