

608. RELATIVE SINGULAR VALUES OF LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS

Ali R. Amir-Moéz

To Professor D. S. Mitrinović, with my admiration

This exposition intends to give some applications of properties of proper values and singular values of linear transformations on a unitary space to relative singular values of linear transformations. We shall give a few samples.

1. Definitions and Notations. A unitary space of dimension n will be denoted by E_n . Vectors will be indicated by Greek letters and complex numbers by latin letters. Most standard notations will be used. Let A be a linear transformation on E_n . Then the positive square roots of the proper values of A^*A are called absolute singular values of A or simply singular values of A . Let H be a Hermitian transformation on E_n . Then proper values of A^*HA are called relative singular values of A relative to H . In particular we will study the case that H is a positive (positive definite) transformation. Thus we will use the following definition:

Let H be a positive linear transformation and A any linear transformation on E_n . Then A^*HA is non-negative [2]. The positive square roots of proper values of A^*HA are defined to be *relative singular values* of A relative to H .

If $j_p \leq i_p$ for $p = 1, \dots, k$, we write $(j_1, \dots, j_k) \leq (i_1, \dots, i_k)$. Given any sequence $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ of integers such that $i_p \geq p$, $p = 1, \dots, k$, let (i'_1, \dots, i'_k) denote the strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$(a) \quad (i'_1, \dots, i'_k) \leq (i_1, \dots, i_k),$$

$$(b) \quad (j_1, \dots, j_k) \leq (i_1, \dots, i_k),$$

where (j_1, \dots, j_k) is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers which is less than or equal to (i_1, \dots, i_k) . One observes that (i'_1, \dots, i'_k) is given by

$$i'_k = i_k, \quad i'_p = \min(i_p, i_{p+1} - 1) \quad (p = k - 1, \dots, 1).$$

2. A Set of Theorems. We shall state the theorems which will be used in this note [1].

(i) Let $i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$ and $j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_k$ be sequences of positive integers such that $i_k \leq n$, $j_k \leq n$, $i_p + j_j \geq n + p$, $p = 1, \dots, k$, and $k \leq n$. Let $C = A + B$, where A and B are Hermitian transformations on E_n . Suppose

$$a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n, \quad b_1 \geq \dots \geq b_n, \quad c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_n$$

are proper values of A , B , and C respectively. Then

$$a'_{i_1} + \cdots + a'_{i_k} + b'_{j_1} + \cdots + b'_{j_k} \leq c_{(i_1+j_1-n)'} + \cdots + c_{(i_k+j_k-n)'},$$

where, for example, (i'_1, \dots, i'_k) is the sequence described in § 1.

(ii) Let A and B be non-negative transformations on E_n and $C = AB$. Then the proper values of C are real and non-negative. Let $c_1 \geq \cdots \geq c_n$, $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$, and $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_n$ be respectively proper values of C , A and B . Let (i_1, \dots, i_k) be a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that $i_k \leq n$. Then.

$$(1) \quad c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_k} \leq a_1 \cdots a_k \cdot b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_k},$$

$$(2) \quad c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_k} \leq b_1 \cdots b_k \cdot a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k}.$$

There are other theorems which may be applied. We shall leave them to the reader.

3. Theorem. Let A be a linear transformation and H a positive transformation on E_n . Let $a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ be singular values of A , $r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_n$ be relative singular values of A relative to H , and $h_1 \geq \cdots \geq h_n$ be proper values of H . Then

$$(1) \quad r_{i_1}^2 \cdots r_{i_k}^2 \leq a_1^2 \cdots a_k^2 \cdot h_{i_1} \cdots h_{i_k},$$

and

$$(2) \quad r_{i_1}^2 \cdots r_{i_k}^2 \leq h_1 \cdots h_k \cdot a_{i_1}^2 \cdots a_{i_k}^2,$$

where (i_1, \dots, i_k) is the sequence described in § 2 (i).

Proof. Even though A^*HA itself does not fit the hypothesis of 2 (i); one observes that AA^*H has the same proper values as A^*HA . Thus the proof is clear.

4. Theorem (Relative Singular Values of a Sum). Let A and B be linear transformations on E_n . Let $r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_n$ and $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_n$ be respectively relative singular values of A and B relative to H , a positive linear transformation on E_n . Let $t_1 \geq \cdots \geq t_n$ be relative singular values of $A+B$. Then

$$r_{i_1}'^2 + \cdots + r_{i_k}'^2 + s_{j_1}'^2 + \cdots + s_{j_k}'^2 \leq t_{(i_1+j_1-n)'} + \cdots + t_{(i_k+j_k-n)'},$$

where (i'_1, \dots, i'_k) is the sequence described in § 1.

This theorem follows directly from § 2 (i).

5. Theorem (Relative Singular Values of a Product). Let A and B be linear transformations on E_n . Let $r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_n$ be relative singular value of AB relative to H , a positive transformation on E_n . Let $s_1 \geq \cdots \geq s_n$ be relative singular values of A relative to H and $b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_n$ be absolute singular values of B . Then

$$(1) \quad r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_k} \leq s_1 \cdots s_k \cdot b_{i_1} \cdots b_{i_k},$$

and

$$(2) \quad r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_k} \leq s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k} \cdot b_1 \cdots b_k,$$

where (i_1, \dots, i_k) is the sequence described in § 2 (i).

Proof. One observes that B^*A^*HAB has the same proper values as $(A^*HA)(BB^*)$. Thus applying 2 (i) the proof is clear.

6. Questions. In this note only a sample set of applications was given. One may obtain other inequalities. If H is any Hermitian transformation, the problem becomes complicated. It would be interesting to study this case. One also can extend the problem to the case that H is non-negative.

Note that in what was studied we didn't obtain inequalities relating relative singular values of A , B , and AB of section 5. Thus, this is left as a question.

REFERENCES

1. A. R. AMIR-MOÉZ: *Extreme properties of eigenvalues of Hermitian transformations and singular of the sum and product of linear transformations*. Duke Math. J. 23 (1956), 463—476.
2. A. R. AMIR-MOÉZ: *Product of Hermitian Transformations*. These Publications, № 498—№ 541 (1975), 159—161.

Department of Mathematics
Texas Tech University
Lubbock, TX 79409
U.S.A.